

A Reconstruction theorem for homeomorphism groups without small sets and non-shrinking functions of a normed space

By Vladimir P. Fonf and Matatyahu Rubin

Department of Mathematics Ben Gurion University

Beer Sheva, Israel

1 Introduction

Let X be a topological space and G be a subgroup of the group $H(X)$ of all auto-homeomorphisms of X . The pair (X, G) is then called a *space-group pair*. Let K be a class of space-group pairs. K is called a *faithfull class* if for every $(X, G), (Y, H) \in K$ and an isomorphism φ between the groups G and H there is a homeomorphism τ between X and Y such that $\varphi(g) = \tau \circ g \circ \tau^{-1}$ for every $g \in G$.

The first important theorem on faithfulness is due to J. Whittaker [W] (1963). He proved that the class of homeomorphism groups of Euclidean manifolds is faithful. That is, $\{(X, H(X)) \mid X \text{ is a Euclidean manifold}\}$ is faithful.

Other faithfulness theorems were proved in R. McCoy [McC] 1972, W. Ling [Lg1] 1980, M. Rubin [Ru1] 1989, M. Rubin [Ru2] 1989, K. Kawamura [Ka] 1995, M. Brin [Br1] 1996, M. Rubin [Ru3] 1996, A. Banyaga [Ba1] 1997, A. Leiderman and R. Rubin [LR] 1999 and J. Borzellino and V. Brunsden 2000.

Among the classes shown to be faithful in [Ru2] is the class of manifolds over normed spaces. In [RY] 2000, M. Rubin and Y. Yomdin obtained various strengthenings and continuations of the this result from [Ru2]. One central result from [RY] is the following theorem.

Theorem A Let K_{LLIP} be the class of all space-group pairs (X, G) such that X is an open subset of a normed space and G is a subgroup of $H(X)$ which contains all locally bilipschitz homeomorphisms of X . Then K_{LLIP} is faithful.

Let (X, G) be a space-group pair and $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq X$ be open. We say that U is a *small set* with respect to (X, G) , if for every open nonempty $V \subseteq U$ there is $g \in G$ such that $g(U) \subseteq V$.

It is easy to show that if X is an open subset of a normed space, and $LIP(X)$ is the group of all bilipschitz homeomorphisms of X , then the family of subsets of X which are small with respect to $(X, LIP(X))$ is an open cover of X . The same is obviously true for any group of homeomorphisms containing $LIP(X)$. The existence of a cover consisting of small sets is indeed used in the proof of Theorem A. This fact is also used in all previous faithfulness results applicable to infinite dimensional normed spaces.

This leads to the question of discovering subgroups of $H(X)$ which are rich enough to allow the recovery of X , but which are not sufficiently big to admit small sets.

This work addresses this question. We prove a new faithfulness result (Theorem 2.3) which does not assume the existence of small sets. And we also construct a large class of groups which do not have small sets, and which are covered by this new faithfulness result.

Theorem 2.3 deals with a general class of first countable spaces. We apply it to the class of open subsets of normed spaces. It is also applicable outside the class of normed spaces. One such application - to the class of metrizable locally convex spaces, is proved in Theorem 4.9.

The following statement is a special case of Theorem 2.3. Let (X, G) be

a space-group pair and $S \subseteq X$ be open. S is *strongly flexible*, if for every infinite $A \subseteq S$ without accumulation points in X , there is a nonempty open set $V \subseteq X$ such that for every nonempty open set $W \subseteq V$ there is $g \in G$ such that the sets $\{a \in A \mid g(a) \in W\}$ and $\{a \in A \mid \text{for some neighborhood } U \text{ of } a, g \upharpoonright U = Id\}$ are infinite.

Theorem B Let K_F be the class of all space-group pairs (X, G) such that

- (1) X is regular, first countable and has no isolated points.
- (2) For every $x \in X$ and an open neighborhood U of x the set $\{g(x) \mid g \in G \text{ and } g \upharpoonright (X - U) = Id\}$ is somewhere dense.
- (3) The family of strongly flexible sets is a cover of X .

Then K_F is faithful.

Normed spaces

We next describe our results for normed spaces. Let $LLIP(X)$ denote the group of locally bilipschitz homeomorphisms of a metric space X . For every nonempty open subset X of an infinite dimensional normed space we shall define a certain subgroup $G_X \subseteq LLIP(X)$. We shall prove the following theorem concerning the G_X 's.

Theorem C (a) If X is a nonempty open subset an infinite dimensional normed space, then X does not have small sets with respect to (X, G_X) .

(b) Let K_{NSML} be the class of all space-group pairs (X, H) such that $G_X \subseteq H \subseteq H(X)$. Then K_{NSML} is faithful.

The group G_X is defined in Definition 4.2. Part (a) of Theorem B is a corollary of Theorem 3.1(a). Part (b) of Theorem B follows from Theorems 2.3 and 4.3.

Note that $K_{LLIP} \subseteq K_{NSML}$. Recall that for every $(X, H) \in K_{LLIP}$, the family of small sets is a cover of X . On the other hand, (X, G_X) has no small sets at all, and it belongs to K_{NSML} . So $K_{LLIP} \subsetneq K_{NSML}$. Hence Theorem C(b) strengthens Theorem A.

It needs to be mentioned that if E is an infinite dimensional normed space and $X \subseteq E$ is open and nonempty, then G_X is obtained from G_E in the following way.

$$G_X = \{g \upharpoonright X \mid g \in G_E \text{ and } g \upharpoonright (E \setminus X) = Id\}.$$

So it suffices to show that (E, G_E) does not have small sets.

The nonexistence of small sets follows from a stronger property which is called here the non-shrinking property. For a metric space X , $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ let $B(x, r)$ denote the closed ball with center at x and radius r . Let X be a metric space and Γ be a semigroup of functions from X to X . The operation in Γ is composition of functions. Γ is *non-shrinking* if there are no $g \in \Gamma$, $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ such that $g(B(x, r))$ is contained in a finite union of closed balls with radius $< r$.

The final result of Section 3 is Theorem 3.1. For a normed space E we shall define two semigroups of functions from E to E : $\Gamma_1(E)$ and $\Gamma_2(E)$. $\Gamma_1(E)$ is a subsemigroup of $\Gamma_2(E)$. Theorem 3.1(a) states that for every infinite dimensional normed space E , $\Gamma_1(E)$ is non-shrinking.

Theorem 3.1(b) says that if E has an infinite dimensional subspace F such that c_0 (the space of real sequences converging to 0), is not isomorphically embeddable in the completion of F , then $\Gamma_2(E)$ is non-shrinking.

Also observed in Section 3 is that $\Gamma_2(c_0)$ is *not* non-shrinking.

The group G_E from Theorem C is contained in $\Gamma_1(E)$. So the fact that G_E and hence G_X has no small sets follows from Theorem 3.1(a).

Metrizable locally convex spaces

Theorem D is another application of Theorem 2.3. It is an analogue of Theorem A to the class of metrizable locally convex spaces.

Theorem D Let K_M be the class of all space-group pairs (X, G) in which X is an open subset of a locally convex metrizable topological vector space, and G is a group containing all locally bi-uniformly continuous homeomorphisms of X . Then K_M is faithful.

Theorem C is restated in 4.9(a).

Acknowledgements Lemma 3.5 which is used in the proof of Theorem 3.1(a) was found by Michael Levin. We thank him for his kind permission to include it. We had another proof that did not rely on Lemma 3.5. But the proof which uses Levin's Lemma is simpler.

We also thank Arkady Leiderman for his help in the proof of Proposition 4.12.

2 The reconstruction theorem

Notations 2.1. Let X be a topological space. If $A \subseteq X$. Then the closure and the interior of A in X are denoted respectively by $cl_X(A)$ and $int_X(A)$. Also, $acc_X(A)$ denotes the set of accumulation points of A in X . If $x \in X$ then $Nbr_X(x)$ denotes the set of open neighborhoods of x in X . The subscript X is sometimes omitted.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a topological space.

- (a) A subset $A \subseteq X$ is *discrete* if $acc(A) = \emptyset$.
- (b) Let (X, G) be a space-group pair. The group G is called a *locally moving* group of X , if for every open nonempty set $U \subseteq X$ there is $g \in G \setminus \{Id\}$ such that $g \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) = Id$.
- (c) Let (X, G) be a space-group pair, $A \subseteq X$ be infinite and $V \subseteq X$ be open and nonempty. We say that V *dissects* A , if for every open nonempty $W \subseteq V$ there is $g \in G$ such that $\{a \in A \mid g(a) \in W\}$ is infinite, and $\{a \in A \mid \text{there is } S \in Nbr(a) \text{ such that } g \upharpoonright S = Id\}$ is infinite. A is *dissectable* if there is V such that V dissects A .
- (d) Let (X, G) be a space-group pair and $U \subseteq X$ be open. U is *flexible* if there is a dense subset $D \subseteq U$ such that every infinite discrete subset of D is dissectable.
- (e) Let (X, G) be a space-group pair.
- (1) The set $D(X, G)$ is defined as follows. A point x is in $D(X, G)$ iff

$\{g(x) \mid g \in G\}$ is somewhere dense. That is, if $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\{g(x) \mid g \in G\})) \neq \emptyset$.

(2) Define the relation $DF_{X,G}(x, y)$ as follows. $DF_{X,G}(x, y)$ holds if the set

$$\{g(x) \mid g \in G \text{ and there is } U \in \text{Nbr}(y) \text{ such that } g \upharpoonright U = \text{Id}\}$$

is somewhere dense.

(f) Define the class K_1 of space-group pairs as follows. Let (X, G) be a space-group pair. $(X, G) \in K_1$ if the following hold:

- (P1) X is regular and first countable.
- (P2) G is a locally moving group of X .
- (P3) For every distinct $x, y \in X$, $DF_{X,G}(x, y)$ holds.
- (P4) The set of flexible subsets of X is a cover of X .

Define the class K of space-group pairs as follows. Let (X, G) be a space-group pair. $(X, G) \in K$ if the following hold:

- (P1) X is regular and first countable.
- (P2) G is a locally moving group of X .
- (Q1) $D(X, G)$ is a dense subset of X .
- (Q2) For every distinct $x, y \in D(X, G)$, $DF_{X,G}(x, y)$ holds or $DF_{X,G}(y, x)$ holds.
- (P4) The set of flexible subsets of X is a cover of X .

Note that $K_1 \subseteq K$. Note also that $D(X, G)$ is invariant under G .

Theorem 2.3. (a) K_1 is faithful.

(b) For every $(X_1, G_1), (X_2, G_2) \in K$ and $\varphi : G_1 \cong G_2$ there is $\tau : D(X_1, G_1) \cong D(X_2, G_2)$ such that τ induces φ . That is, for every $g \in G$, $\varphi(g) \upharpoonright D(Y, H) = \tau \circ (g \upharpoonright D(X, G)) \circ \tau^{-1}$.

Remark Part (b) of Theorem 2.3 implies Part (a). In this work only Part (a) is used. But there are concrete classes of space-group pairs, which require the use of Part (b). An example of such a class, is the class of all space-group pairs (X, G) , in which X is the closure of an open subset of a normed space, and G is the group of all homeomorphisms of X which take the boundary of X to itself.

We shall use a theorem from [Ru3]. It is quoted here as Theorem 2.4. The set of regular open subsets of X is denoted by $Ro(X)$. Recall that

U is a regular open set if $\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) = U$. For $U, V \in \text{Ro}(X)$ define $U + V = \text{int}(\text{cl}(U \cup V))$ and $\sim U = \text{int}(X - U)$. Then $(\text{Ro}(X), +, \cap, \sim)$ is a complete Boolean algebra, which we denote by $\mathbf{Ro}(X)$. The partial ordering of the Boolean algebra $\mathbf{Ro}(X)$ is $\subseteq$. Note that every $g \in H(X)$ induces an automorphism of $\mathbf{Ro}(X)$ which we also denote by g .

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\langle X, G \rangle$ and $\langle Y, H \rangle$ be space-group pairs. Assume that G and H are locally moving groups of X and Y respectively. Let $\varphi : G \cong H$. Then there is a unique $\eta : \mathbf{Ro}(X) \cong \mathbf{Ro}(Y)$ such that for every $g \in G$, $\varphi(g) = \eta \circ g \circ \eta^{-1}$.*

Proof See [Ru3] Definition 1.2, Corollary 1.4 or Corollary 2.10 and Proposition 1.8. ■

Definition 2.5. (a) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a set of sets. $\mathcal{B}$ is called a *pairwise disjoint family* if for every distinct $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$. $\mathcal{B}$ is called a *regular open family*, if $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Ro}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an infinite set of subsets of X and $x \in X$. $\lim \mathcal{A} = x$, if for every $S \in \text{Nbr}(x)$, $\{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid A \not\subseteq S\}$ is finite. $\mathcal{A}$ is *convergent* if for some $x \in X$, $\lim \mathcal{A} = x$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a set of subsets of X . $\text{acc}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as follows: $x \in \text{acc}(\mathcal{A})$ iff there is $B \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ such that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $|B \cap A| \leq 1$ and $x \in \text{acc}(B)$.

(c) Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an infinite pairwise disjoint regular open family and $V \in \text{Ro}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. V *absorbs* $\mathcal{U}$, if for every $W \in \text{Ro}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$: if $W \subseteq V$, then there is $g \in G$ such that $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \not\subseteq W\}$ is finite.

$\mathcal{U}$ is *absorbable* if there is $V \in \text{Ro}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that V absorbs $\mathcal{U}$.

(d) Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an infinite pairwise disjoint regular open family and $V \in \text{Ro}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. V *splits* $\mathcal{U}$ if for every $W \in \text{Ro}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$: if $W \subseteq V$, then there is $g \in G$ such that $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite and $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid \text{there is } U' \in \text{Ro}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ such that } U' \subseteq U \text{ and } g \upharpoonright U' = \text{Id}\}$ is infinite.

$\mathcal{U}$ is *splittable* if there is $V \in \text{Ro}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that V splits $\mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an infinite pairwise disjoint regular open family and $A \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. Suppose that for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $|A \cap U| \leq 1$, and that A is dissectable. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is splittable.*

Proof Trivial. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let (X, G) be a space-group pair, and let $\mathcal{U}$ denote a regular open family in X . We define $\psi_{cnvrg}(\mathcal{U})$ to be the following property of $\mathcal{U}$.*

(C1) $\mathcal{U}$ is infinite and pairwise disjoint.

(C2) $\mathcal{U}$ is absorbable.

(C3) $\mathcal{U}$ is not splittable.

Let $(X, G) \in K$ and $\mathcal{U} \subseteq Ro(X)$.

The following are equivalent.

(1) $\mathcal{U}$ is pairwise disjoint and convergent, and $\lim \mathcal{U} \in D(X, G)$.

(2) $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies ψ_{cnvrg} .

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $\mathcal{U}$ be pairwise disjoint and convergent, and $\lim \mathcal{U} \in D(X, G)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{U}$ fulfills (C1).

Let $x = \lim \mathcal{U}$. There is $V \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $\{g(x) \mid g \in G\} \cap V$ is dense in V . Let $W \subseteq V$ and $W \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Then there is $g \in G$ such that $g(x) \in W$. So $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \not\subseteq W\}$ is finite. Hence $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies (C2).

Let $S \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. We show that S does not split $\mathcal{U}$. There is $W' \subseteq S$ such that $W' \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $|\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid U \cap W' \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 1$. Let $W \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ be such that $cl(W) \subseteq W'$. Let $g \in G$, and suppose that $\mathcal{V} := \{V' \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(V') \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite. Since $x = \lim \mathcal{V}$, $g(x) = \lim g(\mathcal{V})$. Hence $g(x) \in cl(W) \subseteq W'$. So $\{V' \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(V') \not\subseteq W'\}$ is finite. Thus $\{V' \in \mathcal{U} \mid \text{there is } V'' \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ such that } V'' \subseteq V' \text{ and } g \upharpoonright V'' = Id\}$ is finite. Hence S does not split $\mathcal{U}$. So (C3) holds.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose that $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies ψ_{cnvrg} . So there is $V \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that V absorbs $\mathcal{U}$. Let S' be an open nonempty flexible set which intersects V and $S = S' \cap V$. Then $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq V$ and S is flexible. Let $g \in G$ be such that $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \not\subseteq S\}$ is finite. Let $\mathcal{U}' = \{g(U) \mid U \in$

$\mathcal{U}$ and $g(U) \subseteq S$. Then $\mathcal{U}'$ satisfies ψ_{cnvrg} and $acc(\mathcal{U}') = g(acc(\mathcal{U}))$. So we may replace $\mathcal{U}$ by $\mathcal{U}'$. That is, we may assume that for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $U \subseteq S$.

We show that $\emptyset \neq acc(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq D(X, G)$. Let $D \subseteq S$ be a dense subset such that every infinite discrete subset of D is dissectable. Suppose by contradiction that $acc(\mathcal{U}) = \emptyset$. Let $A \subseteq D \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ be an infinite set such that for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $|A \cap U| \leq 1$. Then $acc(A) = \emptyset$. Hence A is dissectable. By Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{U}$ is splittable. A contradiction. So $acc(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $x \in acc(\mathcal{U})$. Recall that V absorbs $\mathcal{U}$. Let $W \subseteq V$ be a nonempty open set. Choose W' such that W' is a nonempty regular open set and $cl(W') \subseteq W$. There is $g \in G$ such that $\mathcal{A} := \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \not\subseteq W'\}$ is finite. Since $x \in acc(\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{A})$, $g(x) \in acc(g(\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{A})) \subseteq cl(W') \subseteq W$. Hence $\{g(x) \mid g \in G\}$ is dense in V . We have shown that $x \in D(X, G)$.

Let $x \in acc(\mathcal{U})$. Suppose by contradiction that $\mathcal{U}$ does not converge to x . Let $T \in Nbr(x)$ be such that $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid U \not\subseteq T\}$ is infinite. Let $A \subseteq (D \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U}) \setminus T$ be an infinite set such that for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $|A \cap U| \leq 1$. Either (i) A contains an infinite discrete set, or (ii) A contains the range of a 1-1 convergent sequence. Suppose that (i) happens. Since $A \subseteq D$, A is dissectable. By Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{U}$ is splittable. A contradiction.

Suppose that (ii) happens. We may assume that A is the range of a 1-1 convergent sequence. Let $y = \lim A$. Hence $y \in acc(\mathcal{U})$ and by the previous argument, $y \in D(X, G)$. So either $DF_{X,G}(x, y)$ holds or $DF_{X,G}(y, x)$ holds. We may assume that $DF_{X,G}(x, y)$ holds. So there is $V' \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $\{g(x) \mid g \in G \text{ and for some } R \in Nbr(y), g \upharpoonright R = Id\}$ is dense in V' . We show that V' splits $\mathcal{U}$. Let $W \subseteq V'$ be open and nonempty. There are $g \in G$ and $R \in Nbr(y)$ such that $g(x) \in W$ and $g \upharpoonright R = Id$. Since $x \in acc(\mathcal{U})$ and $W \in Nbr(g(x))$, $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite. Since $A \cap R$ is infinite, $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid U \cap R \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite. Hence $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid \text{there is } U' \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ such that } U' \subseteq U \text{ and } g \upharpoonright U' = Id\}$ is infinite. That is, V' splits $\mathcal{U}$. A contradiction. So $\lim \mathcal{U} = x$. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\psi_{eq}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be the following property.*

There does not exist a nonempty regular open set S such that: For every $T \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$: if $T \subseteq S$, then there is $g \in G$ such that $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \not\subseteq T\}$ is finite, and $\{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid g \upharpoonright V \neq Id\}$ is finite. Let

$$\psi_{eq}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \equiv \psi_{eq}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \wedge \psi_{eq}^1(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}).$$

Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ be convergent pairwise disjoint regular open families such that $\lim \mathcal{U}, \lim \mathcal{V} \in D(X, G)$. Then $\psi_{eq}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ holds iff $\lim \mathcal{U} = \lim \mathcal{V}$.

Proof Suppose that $\lim \mathcal{U} = \lim \mathcal{V}$. Let $S \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Let $x = \lim \mathcal{U}$. Choose $T \in Ro(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $T \subseteq S$ and $x \notin cl(T)$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $\mathcal{A} := \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \not\subseteq T\}$ is finite. Since $x = \lim(\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{A})$, $g(x) \in cl(T)$. So $g(x) \neq x$. Let Q, R be pairwise disjoint neighborhoods of x and $g(x)$ respectively. Since $x = \lim \mathcal{V}$, $g(x) = \lim g(\mathcal{V})$. Hence $\{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid g(V) \not\subseteq R\}$ is finite. Since $\lim \mathcal{V} = x$ and $Q \in Nbr(x)$, $\{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid V \not\subseteq Q\}$ is finite. Hence $g(V) \cap V = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many members of $\mathcal{V}$. So $\{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid g \upharpoonright V \neq Id\}$ is infinite. Hence $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ fulfills ψ_{eq}^1 . Similarly, $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ fulfills ψ_{eq}^1 . So $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ fulfills ψ_{eq} .

Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ be convergent pairwise disjoint regular open families such that $\lim \mathcal{U}, \lim \mathcal{V} \in D(X, G)$. Suppose that $x = \lim \mathcal{U} \neq \lim \mathcal{V} = y$. By (Q2) of Definition 2.2(f), $DF_{X,G}(x, y)$ holds or $DF_{X,G}(y, x)$ holds. We may assume that $DF_{X,G}(x, y)$ holds. Let S be a regular open set such that $\{g(x) \mid g \in G \text{ and for some } R \in Nbr(y), g \upharpoonright R = Id\}$ is dense in S . Let $T \subseteq S$ be regular open and nonempty. There is $g \in G$ such that $g(x) \in T$ and for some $R \in Nbr(y)$, $g \upharpoonright R = Id$. Then $\{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid g(U) \not\subseteq T\}$ is finite. Let $\mathcal{V}' = \{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid V \subseteq R\}$. Then $\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}'$ is finite, and for every $V \in \mathcal{V}'$, $g \upharpoonright V = Id$. That is, $\{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid g \upharpoonright V \neq Id\}$ is finite. Hence $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ does not fulfill ψ_{eq} . $\square$

Proposition 2.9. Let $\psi_{\in}(\mathcal{U}, V)$ be the following property.

For every $\mathcal{W}$: if $\psi_{cnvrg}(\mathcal{W})$ and $\psi_{eq}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$, then $\{W \in \mathcal{W} \mid W \not\subseteq V\}$ is finite. Then for every $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying ψ_{cnvrg} and $V \in Ro(X)$: $\psi_{\in}(\mathcal{U}, V)$ holds iff $\lim \mathcal{U} \in V$.

Proof It is obvious that if $\lim \mathcal{U} \in V$, then $\psi_{\in}(\mathcal{U}, V)$ holds. Suppose that $\lim \mathcal{U} \notin V$. Let $x = \lim \mathcal{U}$. Since $V \in Ro(X)$, $x \notin int(cl(V))$. So

$x \in cl(X \setminus cl(V))$. Hence there is a regular open pairwise disjoint family $\mathcal{W}$ such that for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$, $W \subseteq X \setminus cl(V)$ and $\lim \mathcal{W} = x$. So $\psi_{eq}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ holds and $\{W \in \mathcal{W} \mid W \not\subseteq V\}$ is not finite. So $\psi_{\in}(\mathcal{U}, V)$ does not hold. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.3 Let $(X, G), (Y, H) \in K$ and $\varphi : G \cong H$. By Theorem 2.4, there is $\eta : \mathbf{Ro}(X) \cong \mathbf{Ro}(Y)$ such that $\varphi(g) = \eta \circ g \circ \eta^{-1}$ for every $g \in G$. That is, for every $g \in G$ and $U \in Ro(X)$, $\varphi(g)(\eta(U)) = \eta(g(U))$.

We define $\tau : D(X, G) \rightarrow D(Y, H)$. Let $x \in D(X, G)$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a pairwise disjoint regular open family such that $\lim \mathcal{U} = x$. By Proposition 2.7, $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies ψ_{cnvrg} . So $\eta(\mathcal{U})$ satisfies ψ_{cnvrg} . By Proposition 2.7, $\eta(\mathcal{U})$ converges to a member of $D(Y, H)$. Define $\tau(x) = \lim \eta(\mathcal{U})$. If $\mathcal{V}$ is another pairwise disjoint regular open family converging to x , then by Proposition 2.8, $\psi_{eq}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ holds. So $\psi_{eq}(\eta(\mathcal{U}), \eta(\mathcal{V}))$ holds. Hence by Proposition 2.8, $\lim \eta(\mathcal{U}) = \lim \eta(\mathcal{V})$. So the definition of τ is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{U}$. It follows easily that τ is a bijection between $D(X, G)$ and $D(Y, H)$.

We show that $\tau : D(X, G) \cong D(Y, H)$. It suffices to show that for every $x \in D(X, G)$ and $V \in Ro(Y)$, $x \in V$ iff $\tau(x) \in \eta(V)$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a pairwise disjoint regular open family converging to x . By Proposition 2.9, $\psi_{\in}(\mathcal{U}, V)$ holds iff $x \in V$. Also, $\psi_{\in}(\mathcal{U}, V)$ holds iff $\psi_{\in}(\eta(\mathcal{U}), \eta(V))$ holds iff $\lim \eta(\mathcal{U}) \in \eta(V)$. So $x \in V$ iff $\lim \eta(\mathcal{U}) \in \eta(V)$. That is, $x \in V$ iff $\tau(x) \in \eta(V)$.

It is left to the reader to check that for every $g \in G$,

$$\varphi(g) \upharpoonright D(Y, H) = \tau \circ (g \upharpoonright D(X, G)) \circ \tau^{-1}. \quad \square$$

3 The non-shrinking property of the semi-groups $\Gamma_i(E)$

In this section we consider two subsemigroups of $(\{f \mid f : X \rightarrow X\}, \circ)$, where X is an infinite dimensional normed space: $\Gamma_1(X)$ and $\Gamma_2(X)$. By their definition, $\Gamma_1(X) \subseteq \Gamma_2(X)$.

We prove that (1) for every infinite dimensional normed space X , $\Gamma_1(X)$ is non-shrinking. We also show that (2) if X is an infinite dimensional normed space, and X has an infinite-dimensional subspace Y , such that the completion of Y does not have a subspace isomorphic to the space c_0 (of real sequences converging to 0), then $\Gamma_2(X)$ is non-shrinking.

Finally we prove that (3) $\Gamma_2(c_0)$ is not non-shrinking.

The proofs of (1) and (2) have a very similar structure. In both proofs we need to show that the unit sphere of X does not have a cover with certain properties. But for spaces which do not embed c_0 a stronger statement of this kind can be proved. The proof of (2) relies on the fact (Lemma 3.9) that if X is an infinite dimensional Banach space, and does not have a subspace isomorphic to c_0 then X has the property:

(*) The sphere of X does not have a locally finite cover consisting of weakly closed sets which do not contain 0.

This fact is not true for a general infinite dimensional Banach space, and in fact, c_0 does not have this property.

The following fact (Corollary 3.7) replaces (*) when proving (1). Every infinite dimensional normed space X has the property:

(**) The sphere of X does not have a locally finite cover with finite order consisting of closed convex sets which do not contain 0.

A set $\mathcal{S}$ of subsets of a set X has finite order $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if

$$\max_{x \in X} |\{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \in S\}| = k.$$

Let E be a normed space. Denote by $\bar{E}$ the completion of E . We define a set of functions $\widehat{\Gamma}_2 = \widehat{\Gamma}_2(E)$ from E to E . A function $h : E \rightarrow E$ belongs to $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ if there is a set of pairs

$\{(\widehat{S}_j, E_j) \mid j \in J\}$ such that:

- (1) Every $\widehat{S}_j$ is a weakly closed subset of $\bar{E}$.
- (2) $\{\widehat{S}_j \mid j \in J\}$ is locally finite in $\bar{E}$.
- (3) $\bigcup \{\widehat{S}_j \mid j \in J\}$ is bounded.
- (4) For every $j \in J$ and $x \in \widehat{S}_j \cap E$,

$$h(x) - x \in E_j,$$

and for every $x \in E \setminus \bigcup \{\widehat{S}_j \mid j \in J\}$,

$$h(x) = x.$$

For every $h \in \widehat{\Gamma}_2$ we pick a family $\{(\widehat{S}_j, E_j) \mid j \in J\}$ satisfying the above and in which $\widehat{S}_i \neq \widehat{S}_j$ for every distinct $i, j \in J$. We then denote $J, \widehat{S}_j, E_j$ by $J^h, \widehat{S}_j^h$ and E_j^h respectively. If X is a metric space, $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, define $B_X(x, r) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq r\}$. For a normed space E denote $B_E(0, 1)$ by B_E .

Let $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2(E)$ be the semigroup generated by $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$, that is, the closure of $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ under composition.

Note that $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ contains discontinuous functions.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of subsets of a metric space (X, d) and $r > 0$. $\mathcal{S}$ is *r-separated* if for every distinct $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$, $d(S, T) > r$. We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is *separated* if for some $r > 0$, $\mathcal{S}$ is *r-separated*.

We define $\widehat{\Gamma}_1 = \widehat{\Gamma}_1(E)$. A function $h : E \rightarrow E$ belongs to $\widehat{\Gamma}_1$ if there is a set of pairs $\{(S_j, E_j) \mid j \in J\}$ such that:

- (1) Every S_j is a closed convex subset of E .
- (2) $\{S_j \mid j \in J\}$ is separated.
- (3) $\bigcup \{S_j \mid j \in J\}$ is bounded.
- (4) For every $j \in J$ and $x \in S_j$,

$$h(x) - x \in E_j,$$

and for every $x \in E \setminus \bigcup \{S_j \mid j \in J\}$,

$$h(x) = x.$$

Let $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1(E)$ be the semigroup generated by $\widehat{\Gamma}_1$.

Note that $\widehat{\Gamma}_1 \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}_2$. For $h \in \widehat{\Gamma}_1$, define $\widehat{S}_j^h = cl_{\widehat{E}}(S_j^h)$. Then $\{(\widehat{S}_j^h, E_j^h) \mid j \in J^h\}$ fulfills Clauses (1)-(4) in the definition of Γ_2 .

The theorem below is the final goal of this section.

Theorem 3.1. (a) *For every infinite dimensional normed space E , $\Gamma_1(E)$ is non-shrinking.*

(b) *Let E be an infinite dimensional normed space. Suppose that E con-*

tains an infinite dimensional subspace F such that c_0 is not isomorphic to a subspace of the completion of F . Then $\Gamma_2(E)$ is non-shrinking.

For a function g , $\text{supp}(g)$ is defined as $\{x \in \text{Dom}(g) \mid g(x) \neq x\}$. Clearly, $\text{supp}(h_n \circ \dots \circ h_1) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(h_i)$. So for every $g \in \Gamma_2$, $\text{supp}(g)$ is bounded.

The definition of the non-shrinking property relies on the choice of the metric on X . We observe that the non-shrinkingness of Γ_1 and Γ_2 persists when one norm on E is replaced by an equivalent norm.

Proposition 3.2. (a) If Γ_2 is not non-shrinking, then for every $s \in (0, 1)$ there are $g \in \Gamma_2$ and a finite subset $\sigma \subseteq E$ such that

$$g(B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \sigma} (x + s \cdot B_E).$$

(b) The same holds for Γ_1 . That is, if Γ_1 is not non-shrinking, then for every $s \in (0, 1)$ there are $g \in \Gamma_1$ and a finite subset $\sigma \subseteq E$ such that $g(B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \sigma} (x + s \cdot B_E)$.

(c) Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space, and $\|\cdot\|$ be an equivalent norm on E . If Γ_2 is non-shrinking with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, then it is non-shrinking with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

(d) The same holds for Γ_1 .

Proof (a) Suppose that $g \in \Gamma_2$, $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\sigma \subseteq E$ are such that σ is finite and $g(B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \sigma} (x + r \cdot B_E)$.

For $v \in E$ and $A \subseteq E$ let $\mathbf{tr}_v$ be the function $x \mapsto x + v$ and $\mathbf{tr}_{v,A} = \mathbf{tr}_v \upharpoonright A \cup \text{Id} \upharpoonright (E \setminus A)$. So if A is bounded, then $\mathbf{tr}_{v,A} \in \Gamma_1$.

For $v \in E$ and $\lambda > 0$ let $g_{\lambda,v}$ be the function acting on $v + \lambda \cdot B_E$ in the same way that g acts on B_E . That is, $g_{\lambda,v} = \mathbf{af}_{\lambda,v} \circ g \circ (\mathbf{af}_{\lambda,v})^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{af}_{\lambda,v}$ is the affine function: $x \mapsto \lambda x + v$. Clearly, $g_{\lambda,v} \in \Gamma_2$ and $g_{\lambda,v}(v + \lambda \cdot B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \sigma} (x + v + r\lambda \cdot B_E)$.

Let $\sigma = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. Choose $\{v_i \mid i \leq k\}$ such that for every $i < j \leq k$,

- (1) $\text{supp}(g_{v_i,r}) \cap (v_j + r \cdot B_E) = \emptyset$;
- (2) $g_{v_i,r}(v_i + r \cdot B_E) \cap \text{supp}(g_{v_j,r}) = \emptyset$;
- (3) $v_i + r \cdot B_E \cap \bigcup_{\ell=1}^k (x_\ell + r \cdot B_E) = \emptyset$.

Let $A_i = x_i + r \cdot B_E \setminus \bigcup_{\ell < i} (x_\ell + r \cdot B_E)$, $f_i = \mathbf{tr}_{v_i - x_i, A_i}$ and $f = f_k \circ \dots \circ f_1$. Relying on (3),

$$(3.1) \quad \text{For every } i \leq k, \quad f(x_i + r \cdot B_E \setminus \bigcup_{\ell < i} (x_\ell + r \cdot B_E)) \subseteq v_i + r \cdot B_E.$$

Let

$$h = g_{v_k, r} \circ \dots \circ g_{v_1, r} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h} = h \circ f.$$

We check that

$$\hat{h} \circ g(B_E) \subseteq \bigcup \{x_i + v_j + r^2 \cdot B \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

For every $i \leq k$, $g_{v_i, r}(v_i + r \cdot B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq k} (x_j + v_i + r^2 \cdot B_E)$. For every $\ell < i$, $g_{v_\ell, r} \upharpoonright (v_i + r \cdot B_E) = \text{Id}$. This follows from (1). So

$$(3.2) \quad g_{v_i, r} \circ \dots \circ g_{v_1, r}(v_i + r \cdot B_E) = g_{v_i, r}(v_i + r \cdot B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq k} (x_j + v_i + r^2 \cdot B_E).$$

Clause (2) together with the equality in (3.2) imply that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{For every } i \leq k$$

$$h(v_i + r \cdot B_E) = g_{v_i, r} \circ \dots \circ g_{v_1, r}(v_i + r \cdot B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq k} (x_j + v_i + r^2 \cdot B_E).$$

By (3.1) and (3.3),

$$(3.4) \quad \text{For every } i \leq k, \quad \hat{h}(A_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq k} (x_j + v_i + r^2 \cdot B_E).$$

Since $\bigcup_{i \leq k} A_i = \bigcup_{i \leq k} (x_i + r \cdot B_E)$,

$$(3.5) \quad \hat{h}(\bigcup_{i \leq k} (x_i + r \cdot B_E)) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq k} (x_j + v_i + r^2 \cdot B_E).$$

It follows that $\hat{h} \circ g(B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq k} (x_j + v_i + r^2 \cdot B_E)$. Hence it is contained in the union of finitely many balls with radius r^2 .

Let $s \in (0, 1)$. Iterating the above construction sufficiently many times, one obtains $g_s \in \Gamma_2$ such that $g_s(B_E)$ is contained in the union of finitely many balls with radius $\leq s$.

(b) Note that if in the above construction $g \in \Gamma_1$, then $\hat{h} \in \Gamma_1$.

(c) Let B' be the unit ball of $(E, \|\cdot\|)$. Let $K > 1$ be such that $B' \subseteq K \cdot B_E$ and $B_E \subseteq K \cdot B'$. Let $S > K^2$. By Part (a), there is $g \in \Gamma_2$ such that $g(K \cdot B_E)$ is contained in a finite union $\bigcup_{i \leq k} D_i$ of $\|\cdot\|$ -balls with radius $\frac{K}{S}$. So $g(K \cdot B_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \leq k} D'_i$, where each D'_i is a $\|\cdot\|$ -ball with radius $\frac{K^2}{S}$. So $g(B') \subseteq \bigcup_{i \leq k} D'_i$, and the D'_i -s are $\|\cdot\|$ -balls with radius < 1 .

(d) Part (d) follows from Part (b) in the same way that Part (c) follows from Part (a). $\square$

Suppose that L, M are linear subspaces of a vector space X and $L \cap M = \{0\}$. The function $P : M + L \rightarrow L$ defined by

$$P(v + u) = u, \quad v \in M, u \in L$$

is called the projection of (L, M) .

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a separable normed space. Then there is an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X such that*

(*) *for any finite-dimensional subspace $M \subseteq X$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite-codimensional subspace $L \subseteq X$ such that $M \cap L = \{0\}$ and if $P : M + L \rightarrow L$ is the projection of (L, M) , then $\|P\| < 1 + \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let Y be any separable Banach space with a basis which contains X isomorphically. For instance, take $Y = C([0, 1])$. Let $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a basis for Y such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|e_i\| = 1$. Let $\{e_i^* \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq Y^*$ be the biorthogonal sequence for $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For $n = 0, 1, \dots$ define the operators from Y to Y

$$S_n x = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i \quad \text{and} \quad R_n x = x - S_n x,$$

and put

$$\|x\| = \sup\{\|S_n x\|, \|R_n x\| \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

So S_0 is the 0-operator and $R_0 = Id$. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the following properties.

(P1) $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to the original norm on Y .

(P2) $\|S_n\| = \|R_n\| = 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof of P1 For every $x \in X$, $\|x\| \geq \|R_0 x\| = \|x\|$.

The fact that $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a basic sequence is equivalent to the existence of $C > 0$ such that for every $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|S_n x\| \leq C\|x\|$. Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|R_n x\| = \|x - S_n x\| \leq (1 + C) \cdot \|x\|$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is the supremum of the above, $\|x\| \leq (1 + C) \cdot \|x\|$.

Proof of P2 Since for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, S_n and R_n are nonzero projections, their norm must be ≥ 1 . It follows trivially from the definition of $\|\cdot\|$ that $\|R_n\|, \|S_n\| \leq 1$.

We claim that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ restricted to X has Property (*).

Let $Y_m = [e_i]_{i=1}^m$ and $Y^m = [e_i]_{i=m+1}^\infty$. Then $S_m : Y^m + Y_m \rightarrow Y_m$ is the projection of (Y_m, Y^m) and $R_m : Y_m + Y^m \rightarrow Y^m$ is the projection of (Y^m, Y_m) . Since $\|S_m\| = \|R_m\| = 1$, for every $u \in Y_m$ and $v \in Y^m$, $\|u\|, \|v\| \leq \|u+v\|$.

Let $M \subseteq X$ be a finite dimensional subspace of X and $\varepsilon > 0$. We may assume that $\varepsilon < 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be so large that for every $x \in S_M$, $\inf(\{\|x - y\| \mid y \in Y_m\}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Such an m exists, since S_M is compact and $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Y_m$ is dense in Y . We check that $M \cap Y^m = \{0\}$. If this is not so, there is $x \in S_M \cap Y^m$. Let $y \in Y_m$ be such that $\|x - y\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Hence $\|y\| \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. So for every $z \in Y^m$, $\|y - z\| \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. In particular, $\|y - x\| \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. This is impossible since $\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

Let $Q : M + Y^m \rightarrow Y^m$ be the projection of (Y^m, M) . We show that $\|Q\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Let $x \in M + Y^m$ and $\|x\| = 1$. So for some $u \in M$ and $v \in Y^m$, $x = u + v$. Let $w \in Y^m$ be such that $\|u - w\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \cdot \|u\|$. So

$$\|v\| \leq \|v + w\| \leq \|v + u\| + \|u - w\| \leq \|v + u\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \cdot \|u\|. \quad (1)$$

$$\|u\| \leq \|u + v\| + \|-v\| = 1 + \|v\| \leq 1 + \|v + w\| \leq \|v + u\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \cdot \|u\|. \quad (2)$$

Hence

$$(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \cdot \|u\| \leq \|v + u\|. \quad (3)$$

That is,

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{3}{3 - \varepsilon} \cdot \|v + u\|. \quad (4)$$

By (1) and (4),

$$\|v\| \leq \|v + u\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{3 - \varepsilon} \cdot \|v + u\| = (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3 - \varepsilon}) \cdot \|v + u\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot \|v + u\|. \quad (5)$$

So $\|Q\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Let $L = Y^m \cap X$. Since Y^m has finite codimension in Y , L has finite codimension in X . Clearly, $M \cap L = \{0\}$. The projection P of (L, M) is the restriction of Q to $M + L$. So $\|P\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of sets and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. $\mathcal{S}$ has *finite order*, if there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every x , $|\{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \in S\}| \leq k$. The *order* of $\mathcal{S}$ is the minimal such k .

Lemma 3.4. *Let $M \subseteq X$ be a finite dimensional subspace of a normed space X and $L \subseteq X$ be a closed subspace which is a complement of M in X . Let $P : X \rightarrow L$ be the projection of (L, M) .*

(a) *Let $A \subseteq X$ be a bounded closed set. Then $P(A)$ is closed.*

(b) *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a locally finite family of subsets of X such that $\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S$ is bounded. Then $\{P(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a locally finite family.*

(c) *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a separated family of subsets of X such that $\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S$ is bounded. Then $\{P(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ has finite order.*

Proof (a) Let $x = \lim x_n$, $x_n \in P(A)$. Then for every n there is $z_n \in A$ such that $Pz_n = x_n$. Clearly, $z_n = x_n + y_n$, where $y_n \in M$. Since A is bounded, $\{z_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a bounded sequence. x_n is convergent and thus it is bounded. So $y_n = z_n - x_n$ is a bounded sequence. Also, $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq M$, and M is finite-dimensional. So $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{y_{n_k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Denote $y = \lim_k y_{n_k}$.

Then we have $\lim z_{n_k} = \lim_k (x_{n_k} + y_{n_k}) = x + y$. Since A is closed and $z_{n_k} \in A$, it follows that $x + y \in A$. Clearly, $P(x + y) = x \in P(A)$. This proves (a).

(b) Let $\mathcal{S}$ be as in Part (b), and assume by contradiction that $\{P(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is not locally finite. Let x be an accumulation point of $P(\mathcal{S})$. That is, there is a 1-1 sequence $\{S_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, $x_i \in P(S_i)$ such that $\lim_i x_i = x$. Let $y_i \in M$ be such that $y_i + x_i \in S_i$. Since $\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S$ is bounded and the projection of (M, L) is bounded, $\{y_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded. Since M is finite dimensional $\{y_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Denote it by $\{y_{i_k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Both $\{y_{i_k}\}$ and $\{x_{i_k}\}$ are convergent. So $\{x_{i_k} + y_{i_k}\}$ is convergent. But $x_{i_k} + y_{i_k} \in S_{i_k}$, and the S_{i_k} 's are distinct. So $\mathcal{S}$ is not locally finite. A contradiction. This proves Part (b).

(c) A subset A of a metric space is ε -separated, if the distance between every two distinct points of A is $\geq \varepsilon$. There is an integer $\ell = \ell(m, R, \varepsilon)$ such that for every m -dimensional normed space E and an ε -separated subset $A \subseteq B_E(0, R)$, $|A| \leq \ell$. For spaces E isometric to $\mathbb{R}_\infty^m$ the number $\ell' = \left(\left\lceil \frac{R}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil + 1\right)^m$ fulfills the requirement.

Let E be an m -dimensional normed space. There is a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on E such that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}_\infty^m$ and for every $x \in E$, $\frac{\|x\|}{m} \leq \|x\| \leq m\|x\|$.

Let $A \subseteq B_E(0, R)$ be ε -separated. Then $A \subseteq B_E^{\|\cdot\|}(0, mR)$ and A is $\frac{\varepsilon}{m}$ -separated with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. So $|A| \leq \left(\left\lceil \frac{m^2 R}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil + 1\right)^m$.

Suppose that $\dim(M) = m$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be as in Part (c). Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\mathcal{S}$ is δ -separated. Suppose that $\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S \subseteq B_X(0, R)$. Let Q be the projection of (M, L) . So $Q(\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S) \subseteq B_M(0, \|Q\| \cdot R)$. Denote $R' = \|Q\| \cdot R$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that there are distinct $S_1, \dots, S_k \in \mathcal{S}$ and x with $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P(S_i)$. So there are $y_1, \dots, y_k \in M$ such that for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, $y_i + x \in S_i$. It follows that $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\} \subseteq B_M(0, R')$. Also, for every $i < j \leq k$, $\|y_i - y_j\| = \|(x + y_i) - (x + y_j)\| \geq \delta$. So $k \leq \ell(m, R', \delta)$. It follows that the order of $\mathcal{S}$ is $\leq \ell(m, R', \delta)$. $\square$

For a normed space E , S_E denotes the unit sphere of E .

The next lemma is due to Michael Levin.

Lemma 3.5. (M. Levin) *Let X be an n -dimensional normed space. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite set of closed subsets of X such that*

- (1) $S_X = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$.
- (2) For every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in F$, $-x \notin F$.

Then the order of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$.

Proof Let k be the order of $\mathcal{F}$ and assume by contradiction that $k < \frac{n}{2} + 1$. There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the order of $\mathcal{U} := \{B_X(F, \varepsilon) \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is k , and for every $x \in U \in \mathcal{U}$, $-x \notin U$. Let $\{\psi_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ be a partition of unity for $\mathcal{U}$. That is,

- (1) For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\text{Dom}(\psi_U) = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}} V$. Denote $W = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}} V$.
- (2) For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in U$, $\psi_U(x) > 0$.

(3) For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in W \setminus U$, $\psi_U(x) = 0$.

(4) For every $x \in W$, $\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \psi_U(x) = 1$.

Let K be the simplex whose vertices are the members of $\mathcal{U}$, and define $f : S_X \rightarrow K$.

$$f(x) = (\psi_U(x))_{U \in \mathcal{U}}, \quad x \in S_E.$$

Let $L = \text{Rng}(f)$, and denote by m the topological dimension of L . If $z \in L$, then the number of coordinates of z which are different from 0 is $\leq k$. That is, L is contained in the $(k-1)$ -dimensional skeleton of K . So $m \leq k-1$. $2(k-1) + 1 < 2(\frac{n}{2} + 1 - 1) + 1 = n + 1$. That is, $2m + 1 \leq n$. By [E] Theorem 1.11.4 p.95, there is an embedding $g : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. It is obvious that if $x \in S_X$, then $g \circ f(x) \neq g \circ f(-x)$. This contradicts the theorem of Borsuk and Ulam which says that for every continuous function $h : S_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ there is $x \in S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ such that $h(x) = h(-x)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Let X be an infinite dimensional normed space. Then S_X does not have a cover $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{S}$ has finite order, $\mathcal{S}$ is locally finite, and for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, S is a closed and convex and $0 \notin S$.*

Proof Let k be the order of $\mathcal{S}$. Let n be such that $\frac{n}{2} + 1 > k$, and L be an n -dimensional subspace of X . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{S \cap S_L \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$. L and $\mathcal{F}$ fulfill the conditions of Lemma 3.5. That is,

(Q1) Every member of $\mathcal{F}$ is closed, and does not contain antipodal points.

(Q2) $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = S_L$.

(Q3) $\mathcal{F}$ is finite.

The argument that (Q3) holds is as follows. $\mathcal{S}$ is locally finite. This implies that $\mathcal{F}$ is locally finite. But a locally finite family of subsets of a compact space must be finite.

By Lemma 3.5, the order of $\mathcal{F}$ is $> \frac{n}{2} + 1 > k$. This contradicts the fact that the order of $\mathcal{S}$ is k . $\square$

Proposition 3.7. (a) *Let X be a normed space, Y be a separable subspace of X and $g \in \Gamma_2(X)$. Then there is a separable subspace Z of X such that $Y \subseteq Z$ and $g(Z) \subseteq Z$.*

(b) (i) Let X be a normed space, Z be a subspace of X and $g \in \Gamma_2(X)$. Suppose that $g(Z) \subseteq Z$. Then $g \upharpoonright Z \in \Gamma_2(Z)$.

(ii) The same holds for Γ_1 .

Proof (a) Suppose first that $g \in \widehat{\Gamma}_1(X)$. Then $\mathcal{S}_1 := \{S_j^g \cap Y \mid j \in J^g\}$ is locally finite. Y is second countable, and in a second countable Hausdorff space every locally finite family has cardinality $\leq \aleph_0$. So $|\mathcal{S}_1| \leq \aleph_0$.

For every $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$, let $j_S \in J^g$ be such that $S_{j_S}^g \cap Y = S$ and let $J_1 = \{j_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$ and $E_1 = [\bigcup_{j \in J_1} E_j^g]$. So $|J_1| \leq \aleph_0$ and hence $\dim(E_1) \leq \aleph_0$. Let $Y_1 = Y + E_1$. Clearly, $Y \cup g(Y) \subseteq Y_1$. Since Y is separable and $\dim(E_1) \leq \aleph_0$, Y_1 is separable.

Applying the same process to Y_1 instead of Y one obtains a subspace Y_2 such that $(*) Y_1 \cup g(Y_1) \subseteq Y_2$ and Y_2 is separable.

Repeating this procedure countably many times we get a sequence of separable subspaces

$$Y = Y_0 \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq Y_2 \subseteq \dots$$

Such that for $i = 0, 1, \dots$, $g(Y_i) \subseteq Y_{i+1}$. Let $Z = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i$. Then $Y \subseteq Z$, Z is separable and $g(Z) \subseteq Z$.

Assume now that $g \in \Gamma_1(X)$. Let $g = h_n \circ \dots \circ h_1$, where $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \widehat{\Gamma}_1(X)$. Let $\{f_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an enumeration of $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ such that for every $m \leq n$ $\{i \mid f_i = h_m\}$ is infinite. Let $Z_0 = Y$ and define by induction a chain of separable subspaces $Z_0 \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq \dots$ such that for every i , $f_i(Z_i) \subseteq Z_{i+1}$. Let $Z = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Z_i$. Then $Y \subseteq Z$, $g(Z) \subseteq Z$ and Z is separable.

(b) Part (b) is Trivial. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.1(a) We first prove that Theorem 3.1(a) is true for separable spaces. So let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be an infinite dimensional separable normed space.

Assume to the contrary that $\Gamma_1(X)$ is not non-shrinking. There is a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X such that $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$, and $\|\cdot\|$ fulfills the conclusion of Lemma 3.3. By Proposition 3.2(d), $\Gamma_1(X)$ is not non-shrinking with respect to $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. We may thus assume that $\|\cdot\|$ fulfills the conclusion of Lemma 3.3.

Let $g \in \Gamma_1(X)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ be such that

$$g(B_X) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + rB_X) \quad (1)$$

Let

$$g = h_n \circ h_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ h_1, \text{ where } h_i \in \widehat{\Gamma}_1(X), \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Every separable metric space is second countable, and in a second countable Hausdorff space every locally finite family has cardinality $\leq \aleph_0$. So $|J^{h_i}| \leq \aleph_0$.

We may assume that for every i , $|J^{h_i}| = \aleph_0$. This can be achieved by adding to $\{(S_j^h, E_j^h) \mid j \in J^h\}$ additional pairs of the form $(S, \{0\})$. So denote the set of pairs associated with h_i by $\{(S_j^i, E_j^i) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Let $\sigma_1 = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \in S_j^1\}$. Since $\{S_j^1 \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is separated, σ_1 is finite. (In fact, $|\sigma_1| \leq 1$). Let M_1 be the following finite-dimensional subspace of X .

$$M_1 = [\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_1} E_j^1].$$

(The points $x_1, \dots, x_m$ were defined in (1)). Let $L_1 \subseteq X$ be a complement of M_1 in X and $P_1 : X \rightarrow L_1$ be the projection of (L_1, M_1) . (That is, $P_1 \upharpoonright M_1 = 0$ and $P_1 \upharpoonright L_1 = Id$).

By Lemma 3.4(c), $\{P_1(S_j^2) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has finite order. So

$$\sigma_2 := \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \in P_1(S_j^2)\}$$

is finite. Put $M_2 = [M_1 \cup \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_2} E_j^2]$, and let $L_2 \subseteq X$ be a complement of M_2 in X . Let $P_2 : X \rightarrow L_2$ be the projection of (L_2, M_2) .

Denote $M_0 = [\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}]$ and $P_0 = Id_X$. Proceeding in the above way n times we construct:

A chain of finite-dimensional subspaces of X

$$M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_n.$$

A sequence of complements of the M_i 's with respect to X

$$L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n.$$

The projections

$$P_i : X \rightarrow L_i \quad \text{of} \quad (L_i, M_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

And finite sets

$$\sigma_i = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \in P_{i-1}(S_j^i)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

such that

$$M_i = [M_{i-1} \cup \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_i} E_j^i], \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

(In fact, L_n and P_n will not be used).

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $r(1 + \varepsilon) < 1$. Recall that $\|\cdot\|$ has the property of Lemma 3.3. Let L be a subspace of X as guaranteed by Lemma 3.3 for M_n and ε . That is,

(P1) L has finite codimension in X and $L \cap M_n = \{0\}$.

(P2) Let $P : M_n + L \rightarrow L$ be the projection of (L, M_n) . Then $\|P\| < 1 + \varepsilon$.

We may also assume that

(P3) $L \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$.

This is so, since $L \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$ too, fulfills (P1) and (P2).

Let

$$C = S_L \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_i} P_{i-1}(S_j^i). \quad (2)$$

We show that $C \neq \emptyset$. Suppose otherwise. So $S_L \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_i} P_{i-1}(S_j^i)$. By Lemma 3.4(a), for every i, j , $P_{i-1}(S_j^i)$ is closed. If $j \notin \sigma_i$, then $0 \notin P_{i-1}(S_j^i)$. Hence since $P_{i-1}(S_j^i)$ is convex, it does not contain antipodal points. We use the facts that for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\{S_j^i \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is separated and bounded. By Lemma 3.4(c), $\{P_{i-1}(S_j^i) \mid j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma_i\}$ has finite order. So $\mathcal{S} := \{P_{i-1}(S_j^i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j \notin \sigma_i\}$ has finite order. By Lemma 3.4(b), $\mathcal{S}$ is locally finite. The facts:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}$ covers S_L ;
- (ii) For every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, S is closed and convex and $0 \notin S$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}$ is locally finite;
- (iv) $\mathcal{S}$ has finite order;

contradict Corollary 3.7. So $C \neq \emptyset$.

Recall that P is the projection of (L, M_n) . We claim that

(*) For every $x \in C$, $g(x) = x + v$ for some $v \in M_n$.

Let $x \in C$.

Step 1: By (2), either $x \notin \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j^1$, or $x \in \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_1} S_j^1$. In either case,

$$h_1(x) = x + v_1, \quad v_1 \in M_1.$$

From the facts that $x \in L \subseteq L_1$ and $v_1 \in M_1$, it follows that $x = P_1(x + v_1)$.

Step 2: Assume by contradiction that $x + v_1 \in \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_2} S_j^2$. Then

$$x = P_1(x + v_1) \in \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_2} P_1(S_j^2),$$

contradicting the fact that $x \in C$. So $x + v_1 \notin \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_2} S_j^2$. Hence either $x + v_1 \notin \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j^1$, or $x + v_1 \in \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_2} S_j^2$. In either case,

$$h_2 \circ h_1(x) = h_2(x + v_1) = x + v_1 + v_2, \quad v_2 \in M_2.$$

Since $M_1 \subseteq M_2$, $v_1 + v_2 \in M_2$. Also, $x \in L \subseteq L_2$. So $P_2(x + v_1 + v_2) = x$.

In *Step n* of this argument one concludes that

$$g(x) = x + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i, \quad v_i \in M_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For every $i \leq n$, $M_i \subseteq M_n$. So $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \in M_n$. That is, $g(x) = x + v$, where $v \in M_n$. So $(*)$ holds.

It follows from $(*)$ that

(**) For every $x \in C$, $Pg(x) = x$.

We check that

(***) For every $x \in C$, $g(x) \in \bigcup_{k=1}^m x_k + rB_{M_n+L}$.

It is given that $g(x) \in \bigcup_{k=1}^m x_k + rB_X$. Write $g(x) = x_k + ru$, where $u \in B_X$.

By $(*)$, $g(x) \in M_n + L$, and from the definition of M_1 follows that

$x_k \in M_1 \subseteq M_n + L$. So $u = \frac{g(x) - x_k}{r} \in M_n + L$. So $u \in B_{M_n+L}$.

By $(***)$,

$$g(C) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + rB_{M_n+L}).$$

By $(**)$,

$$C = P(g(C)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m P(x_k + rB_{M_n+L}).$$

Since $x_k \in M_n$, it follows that $P(x_k + rB_{M_n+L}) = rP(B_{M_n+L})$. Hence

$$C \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m rP(B_{M_n+L}).$$

We now use the facts that $\|P\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ and $(1 + \varepsilon)r < 1$. So

$$C \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m rP(B_{M_n+L}) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m r(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot B_{M_n+L}.$$

We know that $\emptyset \neq C \subseteq S_L$. But all the points in $\bigcup_{k=1}^m r(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot B_{M_n+L}$ have norm < 1 . A contradiction.

We have shown that the claim of Theorem 3.1(a) is true for separable spaces.

Let X be any normed space. Suppose by contradiction that $\Gamma_1(X)$ is not non-shrinking. Let $g \in \Gamma_1(X)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ be such that $g(B_X) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + rB_X)$. Let Z be a separable subspace of X such that $[\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}] \subseteq Z$ and $g(Z) \subseteq Z$. Such Z exists by Proposition 3.7. Relying on the fact that $x_1, \dots, x_m \in Z$, we conclude that $g(B_Z) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + rB_Z)$. Also, $g \upharpoonright Z \in \Gamma_1(Z)$. So $\Gamma_1(Z)$ is not non-shrinking. This contradicts the first part of the proof. So Part (a) of the theorem is proved. $\square$

The following additional facts are needed in the proof of Theorem 3.1(b).

Lemma 3.8. ([F] Corollary 3, [FL] Theorem 2.3) *Assume that an infinite dimensional Banach space E contains a nonempty bounded open subset which is a weak G_δ -set. Then E contains c_0 isomorphically.*

Lemma 3.9. *Let L be an infinite dimensional Banach space that does not contain c_0 isomorphically, and $\mathcal{A}$ be a locally finite family of w -closed subsets of L which do not contain 0. Then $S_L \setminus \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof Assume to the contrary that

$$S_L \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A.$$

Let $E \subseteq L$ be any separable closed infinite dimensional subspace of L . Then $\mathcal{B} = \{A \cap E \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a locally finite family of w -closed subsets of E and $S_E \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} A$.

Since E is second countable $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \aleph_0$. Define

$$G = B_E \setminus \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} A.$$

Clearly, $0 \in G$. Since E is separable it follows that B_E is a weak G_δ set, and hence G is a weak G_δ set too. Clearly G is bounded. We check that G is open. Let $x \in G$. Since $S_E \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} A$, $x \in \text{int}(B_E)$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a locally finite family and $x \notin \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} A$, it follows that there is $r > 0$ such that $(x + rB_E) \cap \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} A = \emptyset$. Put $\alpha = \min(d(x, S_E), r)$. Then $x + \alpha B_E \subseteq G$. By Lemma 3.8, c_0 is embeddable in E . A contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *Let $M \subseteq X$ be a finite-dimensional subspace of a Banach space X , $L \subseteq X$ be a closed complement of M in X and $P : X \rightarrow L$ be the projection of (L, M) . Then for every w -closed bounded subset $A \subseteq X$, $P(A)$ is w -closed.*

Proof Denote the weak limit of a net $\mathcal{N}$ by $w\text{-}\lim \mathcal{N}$. We prove that $P(A)$ is closed under convergent nets. Let $(D, \leq_D)$ be a directed poset and $\{x_d \mid d \in D\} \subseteq P(A)$ be a net in A such that $\{x_d \mid d \in D\}$ is w -convergent in L , and let $x = w\text{-}\lim \{x_d \mid d \in D\}$. Let $z_d \in A$ be such that $P(z_d) = x_d$. So $z_d = x_d + y_d$, where $y_d \in M$. The set $\{y_d \mid d \in D\}$ is bounded, since it is the image of the bounded set $\{z_d \mid d \in D\}$ under a bounded operator. So its closure is compact in M , and hence the net $\{y_d \mid d \in D\}$ has a convergent subnet. Let $\{y_{d_c} \mid c \in C\}$ be a convergent subnet of $\{y_d \mid d \in D\}$ and $y = w\text{-}\lim \{y_{d_c} \mid c \in C\}$. So $x = w\text{-}\lim \{x_{d_c} \mid c \in C\}$. It follows that $x + y = w\text{-}\lim \{x_{d_c} + y_{d_c} \mid c \in C\}$. Since $x_{d_c} + y_{d_c} = z_{d_c} \in A$ and A is w -closed, $x + y \in A$. Clearly, $x = P(x + y) \in P(A)$. We have shown that $P(A)$ is w -closed. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.1(b) We first prove that Theorem 3.1(b) is true for separable spaces. So let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be an infinite dimensional separable normed space.

Assume to the contrary that $\Gamma_2(X)$ is not non-shrinking. There is a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X such that $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$, and $\|\cdot\|$ fulfills the conclusion of Lemma 3.3. By Proposition 3.2(c), $\Gamma_2(X)$ is not non-shrinking with respect to $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. We may thus assume that $\|\cdot\|$ fulfills the conclusion of Lemma 3.3.

Let $g \in \Gamma_2(X)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ be such that

$$g(B_X) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + rB_X) \quad (1)$$

Let

$$g = h_n \circ h_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ h_1, \text{ where } h_i \in \widehat{\Gamma}_2(X), \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Every separable metric space is second countable, and in a second countable Hausdorff space every locally finite family has cardinality $\leq \aleph_0$. So $|J^{h_i}| \leq \aleph_0$.

We may assume that for every i , $|J^{h_i}| = \aleph_0$. This can be achieved by adding to $\{(\widehat{S}_j^h, E_j^h) \mid j \in J^h\}$ additional pairs of the form $(\widehat{S}, \{0\})$. So denote the set of pairs associated with h_i by $\{(\widehat{S}_j^i, E_j^i) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Let $\sigma_1 = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \in \widehat{S}_j^1\}$. Since $\{\widehat{S}_j^1 \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is locally finite in $\overline{X}$, σ_1 is finite. Let M_1 be the following finite-dimensional subspace of X .

$$M_1 = [\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_1} E_j^1].$$

(The points $x_1, \dots, x_m$ were defined in (1)). Let $L_1 \subseteq \overline{X}$ be a complement of M_1 in $\overline{X}$ and $P_1 : \overline{X} \rightarrow L_1$ be the projection of (L_1, M_1) . (That is, $P_1 \upharpoonright M_1 = 0$ and $P_1 \upharpoonright L_1 = Id$).

By Lemma 3.4(b), $\{P_1(\widehat{S}_j^2) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is locally finite. So

$$\sigma_2 := \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \in P_1(\widehat{S}_j^2)\}$$

is finite. Put $M_2 = [M_1 \cup \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_2} E_j^2]$, and let $L_2 \subseteq \overline{X}$ be a complement of M_2 in $\overline{X}$. Let $P_2 : X \rightarrow L_2$ be the projection of (L_2, M_2) .

Denote $M_0 = [\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}]$ and $P_0 = Id_{\overline{X}}$. Proceeding in the above way n times we construct:

A chain of finite-dimensional subspaces of X

$$M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_n.$$

A sequence of complements of the M_i 's with respect to $\overline{X}$

$$L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n.$$

The projections

$$P_i : \overline{X} \rightarrow L_i \quad \text{of} \quad (L_i, M_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

And finite sets

$$\sigma_i = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \in P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

such that

$$M_i = [M_{i-1} \cup \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_i} E_j^i], \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

(In fact, L_n and P_n will not be used).

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $r(1 + \varepsilon) < 1$. Recall that $\|\cdot\|$ has the property of Lemma 3.3. Let L be a subspace of X as guaranteed by Lemma 3.3 for M_n and ε . That is,

(P1) L has finite codimension in X and $L \cap M_n = \{0\}$.

(P2) Let $P : M_n + L \rightarrow L$ be the projection of (L, M_n) . Then $\|P\| < 1 + \varepsilon$.

We may also assume that

(P3) $L \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$.

This is so, since $L \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$ too, fulfills (P1) and (P2). Then by the assumptions of Part (b), X has an infinite dimensional subspace Y such that c_0 is not isomorphically embeddable in $\bar{Y}$. Let $Z = Y \cap L$. Since L has finite codimension in X , Z is infinite dimensional. Clearly,

(i) c_0 is not isomorphic to a subspace of $\bar{Z}$.

Let

$$C = S_{\bar{Z}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_i} P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i). \quad (2)$$

We show that $C \neq \emptyset$. Suppose otherwise. So

(ii) $S_{\bar{Z}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_i} P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i)$.

Recall that $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{S}_j^i$ is bounded. By Lemma 3.10, for every i, j , $P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i)$ is w-closed in $\bar{X}$. So

(iii) $P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i) \cap \bar{Z}$ is w-closed in $\bar{Z}$.

By Lemma 3.4(b), for every i , $\{P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i) \mid j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma_i\}$ is locally finite. So

(iv) $\{P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i) \cap \bar{Z} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma_i\}$ is locally finite.

By the definition of the σ_i 's,

(v) $0 \notin P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i)$ whenever $j \notin \sigma_i$.

(i) - (v) contradict Lemma 3.9. So $C \neq \emptyset$.

We check that C is open in $S_{\bar{Z}}$. This is so, since C is the complement in $S_{\bar{Z}}$ of the union of the locally finite family of closed sets

$\{P_{i-1}(\widehat{S}_j^i) \cap \bar{Z} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma_i\}$.

Since $Z \cap S_{\bar{Z}}$ is dense in $S_{\bar{Z}}$, $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $C \cap L \neq \emptyset$.

Recall that P is the projection of (L, M_n) . We claim that

(*) For every $x \in C \cap L$, $g(x) = x + v$ for some $v \in M_n$.

Let $x \in C \cap L$.

Step 1: By (2), either $x \notin \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{S}_j^1$, or $x \in \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_1} \widehat{S}_j^1$. In either case,

$$h_1(x) = x + v_1, \quad v_1 \in M_1.$$

From the facts that $x \in L \subseteq L_1$ and $v_1 \in M_1$, it follows that $x = P_1(x + v_1)$.

Step 2: Assume by contradiction that $x + v_1 \in \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_2} \widehat{S}_j^2$. Then

$$x = P_1(x + v_1) \in \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_2} P_1(\widehat{S}_j^2),$$

contradicting the fact that $x \in C$. So $x + v_1 \notin \bigcup_{j \notin \sigma_2} \widehat{S}_j^2$. Hence either $x + v_1 \notin \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{S}_j^1$, or $x + v_1 \in \bigcup_{j \in \sigma_2} \widehat{S}_j^2$. In either case,

$$h_2 \circ h_1(x) = h_2(x + v_1) = x + v_1 + v_2, \quad v_2 \in M_2.$$

Since $M_1 \subseteq M_2$, $v_1 + v_2 \in M_2$. Recalling that $x \in L \subseteq L_2$, we conclude that $P_2(x + v_1 + v_2) = x$.

In *Step n* of this argument one concludes that

$$g(x) = x + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i, \quad v_i \in M_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For every $i \leq n$, $M_i \subseteq M_n$. So $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \in M_n$. That is, $g(x) = x + v$, where $v \in M_n$. So (*) holds.

It follows from (*) that

$$(**) \quad \text{For every } x \in C \cap L, \quad Pg(x) = x.$$

We check that

$$(***) \quad \text{For every } x \in C \cap L, \quad g(x) \in \bigcup_{k=1}^m x_k + rB_{M_n+L}.$$

It is given that $g(x) \in \bigcup_{k=1}^m x_k + rB_X$. Write $g(x) = x_k + ru$, where $u \in B_X$.

By (*), $g(x) \in M_n + L$, and from the definition of M_1 follows that

$x_k \in M_1 \subseteq M_n + L$. So $u = \frac{g(x) - x_k}{r} \in M_n + L$. So $u \in B_{M_n+L}$.

By (***),

$$g(C \cap L) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + rB_{M_n+L}).$$

By (**),

$$C \cap L = P(g(C \cap L)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m P(x_k + rB_{M_n+L}).$$

Since $x_k \in M_n$, it follows that $P(x_k + rB_{M_n+L}) = rP(B_{M_n+L})$. Hence

$$C \cap L \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m rP(B_{M_n+L}).$$

We now use the facts that $\|P\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ and $(1 + \varepsilon)r < 1$. So

$$C \cap L \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m rP(B_{M_n+L}) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m r(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot B_{M_n+L}.$$

We know that $\emptyset \neq C \cap L \subseteq S_L$. But all the points in $\bigcup_{k=1}^m r(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot B_{M_n+L}$ have norm < 1 . A contradiction.

We have shown that the claim of Theorem 3.1(b) is true for separable spaces.

Let X be any normed space. Suppose by contradiction that $\Gamma_2(X)$ is not non-shrinking. Let $g \in \Gamma_2(X)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ be such that $g(B_X) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + rB_X)$. Let Z be a separable subspace of X such that $[\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}] \subseteq Z$ and $g(Z) \subseteq Z$. Such Z exists by Proposition 3.7. Relying on the fact that $x_1, \dots, x_m \in Z$, we conclude that $g(B_Z) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + rB_Z)$. Also, $g \upharpoonright Z \in \Gamma_2(Z)$. So $\Gamma_2(Z)$ is not non-shrinking. This contradicts the first part of the proof. So Part (b) of the theorem is proved. $\square$

Proposition 3.11. *Theorem 3.1(b) does not hold for $X = c_0$.*

Proof Let V be the set of all the sequence in c_0 which have only finitely many nonzero coordinates and in which every coordinate is 0, 2 or -2 . For $v \in V$ let $S_v = v + B_{c_0}$.

It is not difficult to check that $\{S_v \mid v \in V\}$ is a locally finite family and that $\bigcup_{v \in V} S_v = 3B_{c_0}$. For every $x \in 3B_{c_0}$, let v_x be such that $x \in S_{v_x}$. Define a map $h : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ as follows. If $x \in 3B_{c_0}$, then $h(x) = x - v_x$, and if $x \in c_0 \setminus 3B_{c_0}$, then $h(x) = x$. Clearly, $h \in \Gamma_2(c_0)$ and $h(3B_{c_0}) = B_{c_0}$. $\square$

Question 3.12. Is $H(c_0) \cap \Gamma_2(c_0)$ non-shrinking?

4 Faithfulness in normed spaces and in metrizable locally convex spaces

This section deals with two faithfulness theorems: the first concerns with open subsets of normed spaces, and the second with open subsets of metrizable locally convex spaces. Recall that K_1 is the faithful class of Theorem 2.3(a).

For every normed space E we shall define a subgroup G_E of $H(E)$ such that $G_E \subseteq \Gamma_1(E) \cap LLIP(E)$, and for every nonempty open subset $X \subseteq E$ we define $G_X = \{g \upharpoonright X \mid g \in G_E \text{ and } \text{supp}(g) \subseteq E\}$. In the first theorem, Theorem 4.3, we prove that $(X, G_X) \in K_1$. Also, since $G_E \subseteq \Gamma_1(E)$, (X, G_X) does not have small sets.

Every metrizable topological vector space has a metric which is invariant under $+$. We shall deal only with such metrics. We next define the group G_X mentioned above.

Definition 4.1. (a) Let (E, τ) be a metrizable topological vector space and d be metric on E whose topology is τ and which is invariant under $+$. Then (E, d) is called a *metric vector space*. In particular a *metric locally convex space* is a metric vector space which is locally convex.

(b) Let X, Y be metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be 1-1. The function f is *bilipschitz* if f and f^{-1} are Lipschitz functions. f is *locally bilipschitz* if for every $x \in X$ there is $U \in \text{Nbr}(x)$ such that $f \upharpoonright U$ is bilipschitz.

(c) For a metric space X , $A \subseteq X$ and $r > 0$, denote $B_X(A, r) = \bigcup_{x \in A} B_X(x, r)$. For a vector space E and $x, y \in E$ denote $[x, y] = \{tx + (1 - t)y \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$.

(d) Let E be a metric locally convex space and $h \in H(E)$. We say that h is a *basic homeomorphism* if there are $x, v \in E$ and $r > 0$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B_E([x, x + v], r)$;
- (2) For every $z \in E$, there is $\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(z) = z + \lambda(z) \cdot v$.
- (3) $h(x) = x + v$.

Denote $x = x^h$, $x + v = y^h$, $v = v^h$, $r = r^h$ and $B([x, x + v], r) = S^h$. Note that $h = Id$ is a basic homeomorphism with $v^h = 0$.

Faithfulness in Normed spaces

Definition 4.2. Let E be a normed space. We define $\widehat{G}_E \subseteq H(E)$. A homeomorphism h belongs to $\widehat{G}_E$ if there is a sequence of basic homeomorphisms $\{h_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

- (1) $\{S^{h_i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is separated.
- (2) $h \upharpoonright (E \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S^{h_i}) = Id$, and for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \upharpoonright S^{h_i} = h_i \upharpoonright S^{h_i}$.
- (3) $\text{supp}(h)$ is a bounded set.
- (4) For every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $r^{h_i} = r^{h_j}$.
- (5) h is locally bilipschitz.

Let $\widehat{G}_E^{LIP} = \{h \in \widehat{G}_E \mid h \text{ is bilipschitz}\}$. Define G_E and G_E^{LIP} to be the subgroups of $H(E)$ generated by $\widehat{G}_E$ and $\widehat{G}_E^{LIP}$ respectively. Suppose that $X \subseteq E$ is open and nonempty, and define

$$G_X = \{g \upharpoonright X \mid g \in G_E \text{ and } g \upharpoonright (E \setminus X) = Id\}$$

and

$$G_X^{LIP} = \{g \upharpoonright X \mid g \in G_E^{LIP} \text{ and } g \upharpoonright (E \setminus X) = Id\}.$$

Note that $\widehat{G}_E \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}_1(E)$ and $(\widehat{G}_E)^{-1} = \widehat{G}_E$. Hence $G_E \subseteq \Gamma_1(E)$.

Theorem 4.3. (a) For every normed space E and an open nonempty subset $X \subseteq E$, $(X, G_X) \in K_1$.

(b) For every Banach space E and an open nonempty subset $X \subseteq E$, $(X, G_X^{LIP}) \in K_1$.

Remark The definition of K_1 implies that K_1 is *closed upwards*. That is, if $(X, G) \in K_1$ and $G \subseteq H \subseteq H(X)$, then $(X, H) \in K_1$. So the fact that $(X, G_X^{LIP}) \in K_1$ implies that $(X, G_X) \in K_1$.

We need the following proposition. It appears in [RY] as Proposition 2.14(c).

Proposition 4.4. *There is a function $M(\ell, t)$ increasing in ℓ and decreasing in t such that for every normed space E , $x, y \in E$ and $r > 0$, there is $h \in H(E)$ such that:*

- (1) $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B([x, y], r)$;
- (2) $h(z) - z \in \text{span}(\{y - x\})$, for every $z \in B([x, y], r)$;
- (3) $h(x) = y$;
- (4) h is $M(\|x - y\|, r)$ -bilipschitz;
- (5) $h \upharpoonright B(x, \frac{2r}{3}) = \mathbf{tr}_{y-x} \upharpoonright B(x, \frac{2r}{3})$. (Recall that $\mathbf{tr}_v$ denotes the function $x \mapsto x + v$).

Note that in the above proposition Clauses (1) - (3) imply that h is a basic homeomorphism.

For a metric space Y , $x \in Y$ and $r > 0$ let $\ddot{B}_Y(x, r)$ denote the open ball of Y with center at x and radius r .

Proposition 4.5. *Let E be a normed space and $X \subseteq E$ be an open nonempty set. Let $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ be such that $\ddot{B}_E(x, 4r) \subseteq X$. Then every infinite separated subset $A \subseteq \ddot{B}_E(x, r)$ is dissectable with respect to G_X^{LIP} .*

Proof If a set C has a dissectable subset, then C itself is dissectable. So we may assume that A is countable. Let $A = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. There is $e > 0$ and a subsequence $\{x'_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is n_0 such that for every $m, n > n_0$, $e - \varepsilon < \|x'_m - x'_n\| < e + \varepsilon$. We may thus assume that for every distinct m, n , $\frac{7e}{8} < \|x_m - x_n\| < \frac{9e}{8}$. Denote $x_1 = u$. We show that $\ddot{B}_E(u, \frac{e}{2})$ dissects A . By removing x_1 from A we may assume that for every n , $\frac{7e}{8} < \|x_n - u\| < \frac{9e}{8}$.

Claim 1 For every $0 < s < \frac{e}{2}$ there are $h \in G_E^{LIP}$ and $a > 0$ such that

- (i) $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq X$,
- (ii) for every n , $h(x_{2n}) \in \ddot{B}_E(u, s)$ and $h \upharpoonright \ddot{B}_E(x_{2n+1}, a) = \text{Id}$.

Proof For simplicity assume that $u = 0$. Let $y_n = \frac{s}{2} \cdot \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$ and $L_n = [x_n, y_n]$. We leave to the reader to check that there are $b, c > 0$ such that:

- (1) $B_E(L_n, b) \subseteq X$,
- (2) For every distinct m, n ,

$$d(B_E(L_m, b), B_E(L_n, b)) > c.$$

For every even $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let h_n be as assured by Proposition 4.4. That is,

- (1) $\text{supp}(h_n) \subseteq B_E(L_n, b)$;
- (2) $h_n(x_n) = y_n$;
- (3) h_n is $M(\|x_n - y_n\|, b)$ -bilipschitz.

Note that because of the increasingness of $M(\ell, t)$ in ℓ there is K such that for every $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$, h_n is K -bilipschitz. Let

$$h = \bigcup_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}} h_n \upharpoonright B_E(L_n, b) \cup \text{Id} \upharpoonright (E \setminus \bigcup_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}} B_E(L_n, b)).$$

It is easy to see that h is as required in Claim 1.

We now show that $\ddot{B}_E(x, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ dissects A . Let U be a nonempty open subset of $\ddot{B}_E(u, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ and $y \in U$. There is $t > 0$ such that $B_E([u, y], t) \subseteq B_E(u, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. By Proposition 4.4, there is $g \in G_E^{LIP}$ such that $g(u) = y$ and $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq B_E(u, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Let $s > 0$ be such that $g(B_E(u, s)) \subseteq U$. By Claim 1, there is $h \in G_E^{LIP}$ such that $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq X$, for every $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$, $h(x_n) \in \ddot{B}(u, s)$ and for every $n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$, there is $T \in \text{Nbr}(x_n)$ such that $h \upharpoonright T = \text{Id}$. Clearly, $\text{supp}(g \circ h) \subseteq X$. Let $f = (g \circ h) \upharpoonright X$. Then

- (1) $f \in G_X^{LIP}$;
- (2) $f(x_n) \in U$, for every $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$;
- (3) If $n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$, then there is $T \in \text{Nbr}(x_n)$ such that $f \upharpoonright T = \text{Id}$. We have shown that $\ddot{B}_E(x, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ dissects A . $\square$

Let E be a normed space and $g \in LIP(E)$. Then g can be extended uniquely to a homeomorphism $\bar{g}$ of $\bar{E}$. For simplicity, if $x \in \bar{E}$ we denote $\bar{g}(x)$ by $g(x)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let E be a normed space, $u \in E$ and $x \in \ddot{B}_{\bar{E}}(u, r) \setminus E$. Let $\emptyset \neq V \subseteq \ddot{B}_{\bar{E}}(u, r)$ be open. Then there is $h \in G_E^{LIP}$ such that $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq \ddot{B}_E(u, r)$ and $h(x) \in V$.*

Proof Let $v \in V \cap E$. Choose $w \in E$ sufficiently close to x so that $B_E([w, v], 3\|w - x\|) \subseteq B_E(u, r)$ and $\|w - x\| < d(v, \bar{E} \setminus V)$. Denote $s = \|w - x\|$.

We now use Proposition 4.4. Let $h \in H(E)$ be such that

- (1) $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B([w, v], 3s)$;

(2) $h(z) - z \in \text{span}(\{v - w\})$, for every $z \in B([w, v], 3s)$;

(3) $h(w) = v$;

(4) h is $M(\|w - v\|, 3s)$ -bilipschitz;

(5) $h \upharpoonright B(w, 2s) = \mathbf{tr}_{v-w} \upharpoonright B(w, 2s)$.

Hence $h \in G_E^{LIP}$ and $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B(u, r)$. Also,

$h(x) = h(w) + (x - w) = v + (x - w)$. So $\|h(x) - v\| = \|x - w\| < d(v, \bar{E} \setminus V)$.

So $h(x) \in V$. $\square$

Let Y be a metric space and $\bar{Y}$ be its completion. Let $U \subseteq Y$ be open. Denote $\text{cmpl}(U) = \{x \in \bar{E} \mid \text{there is } V \in \text{Nbr}_{\bar{Y}}(x) \text{ such that } V \cap Y \subseteq U\}$. Note that $\text{cmpl}(U)$ is open in $\bar{E}$ and that $\text{cmpl}(U) \cap E = U$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let E be a normed space and $X \subseteq E$ be open. Let $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$ be a Cauchy sequence such that $\lim_n x_n \in \text{cmpl}(X) \setminus X$. Then $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dissectable with respect to G_X .*

Proof Denote $x = \lim_n x_n$. Let $u \in X$ and $r > 0$ be such that $\bar{B}_E(u, 4r) \subseteq X$ and $\|x - u\| < \frac{r}{4}$. We may assume that the x_n 's are pairwise distinct and that for every n , $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{r}{4}$. Let $v \in E$ be such that $\|v\| = r$, $L = [x, x + v]$ and $L_n = [x_n, x_n + v]$. Then $L \subseteq B_{\bar{E}}(u, 2r) \setminus E$ and $L_n \subseteq B_E(u, 2r)$. There are $t > s > 0$ such that $B_E(L_1, t) \subseteq B_E(u, 4r)$ and for every n , $B_E(L_n, s) \subseteq B_E(L_1, t)$, (for example, take t and s to be $\frac{3r}{4}$ and $\frac{r}{4}$). Let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that for every $n \neq m$, $B_E(L_n, s_n) \cap B_E(L_m, s_m) = \emptyset$ and $B_E(L_n, s_n) \subseteq B_E(L_1, t)$. For every $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ let h_n be as assured by Proposition 4.4 and such that $h_n(x_n) = x_n + v$ and $\text{supp}(h_n) \subseteq B_E(L_n, s_n)$. Let

$$h = \bigcup_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}} h_n \upharpoonright B_E(L_n, s_n) \cup \text{Id} \upharpoonright (E \setminus \bigcup_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}} B_E(L_n, s_n)).$$

Every accumulation point of $\{B_E(L_n, s_n) \mid n \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$ in $\bar{E}$ belongs to L , so since $L \cap E = \emptyset$, $\{B_E(L_n, s_n) \mid n \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$ is discrete in E . It follows that $h \in H(E)$.

Since for every $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$, h_n is bilipschitz, h is locally bilipschitz. Also, $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B_E([x_1, x_1 + v], t)$ and $h(x_1) = x_1 + v$. Finally, for every $w \in E$, $h(w) - w \in \text{span}(\{v\})$. So h is a basic homeomorphism. Hence $h \in G_E$.

Note that $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B_E(L_1, t) \subseteq X$. Also, for every $n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$, $B_E(L_n, s_n) \in \text{Nbr}(x_n)$ and $h \upharpoonright B_E(L_n, s_n) = \text{Id}$.

Let $w \in E$ be such that $\|(x+v) - w\| < \frac{r}{4}$. We show that $\ddot{B}_E(w, \frac{r}{2})$ dissects $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Clearly, $x+v \in \ddot{B}_E(w, \frac{r}{2})$, $d(x, B_E(w, \frac{r}{2})) > \frac{3r}{4}$ and $B_E(w, \frac{r}{2}) \subseteq X$.

Let $\emptyset \neq V \subseteq \ddot{B}_E(w, \frac{r}{2})$ be open. By Proposition 4.6, there is $g \in G_E$ such that $g(x+v) \in V$ and $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq \ddot{B}_E(w, \frac{r}{2})$. So $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq X$. It follows that $(g \circ h) \upharpoonright X \in G_X$.

Since $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h(x_{2n}) = x+v$ and $g(x+v) \in V$, for all but finitely many n 's, $g \circ h(x_{2n}) \in V$.

Let n be odd. Then there is $T \in \text{Nbr}(x_n)$ such that $h \upharpoonright T = \text{Id}$. Also, $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{r}{4}$. So $d(x_n, B_E(w, \frac{r}{2})) > 0$. Hence $d(x_n, \text{supp}(g)) > 0$. It follows that there is $S \in \text{Nbr}(x_n)$ such that $g \circ h \upharpoonright S = \text{Id}$.

Let $f = (g \circ h) \upharpoonright X$. We have shown that $f \in G_X$, and that the sets $\{n \mid f(x_n) \in V\}$ and $\{n \mid \text{there is } T \in \text{Nbr}(x_n) \text{ such that } f \upharpoonright T = \text{Id}\}$ are infinite. So $\ddot{B}_E(w, \frac{r}{2})$ dissects $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.3 We prove Parts (a) and (b) together.

Let X be an open subset of a normed space E . Then X is regular and first countable. That is, (P1) of Definition 2.2(f) holds.

By Proposition 4.4, G_X^{LIP} is locally moving. Hence every subgroup of $H(X)$ containing G_X^{LIP} is locally moving. That is, (P2) holds for every H containing G_X^{LIP} .

Similarly, Proposition 4.4 implies that $DF(X, G_X^{LIP}) = X$. Hence the same is true for every H containing G_X^{LIP} . That is, (P3) holds for every H containing G_X^{LIP} .

We show that (P4) holds. Assume that E is a Banach space. Let $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ be such that $\ddot{B}(x, 4r) \subseteq X$. We show that $\ddot{B}(x, r)$ is flexible with respect to G_X^{LIP} . Let $A \subseteq \ddot{B}(x, r)$ be an infinite set which is discrete in X . So A is discrete in E . Then A contains an infinite separated subset A' . By Proposition 4.5, A' is disscetable with respect to G_X^{LIP} . So A is disscetable

with respect to G_X^{LIP} , and hence $\ddot{B}(x, r)$ is flexible. Since $\{\ddot{B}(x, r) \mid \ddot{B}(x, 4r) \subseteq X\}$ is a cover of X , it follows that (X, G_X^{LIP}) satisfies (P4), and the same is true for every H containing G_X^{LIP} . We have proved Part (b) of Theorem 4.3.

Assume next that E is a normed space. Let $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ be such that $\ddot{B}(x, 4r) \subseteq X$. We show that $\ddot{B}(x, r)$ is flexible with respect to G_X . Let $A \subseteq \ddot{B}(x, r)$ be an infinite set which is discrete in X . Then A is discrete in E . Then either (i) A contains an infinite separated subset A' , or (ii) A contains a Cauchy sequence $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converging to a point in $\bar{E} \setminus E$. If (i) happens, then by Proposition 4.5, A' is dissectable with respect to G_X^{LIP} . Hence A is dissectable with respect to G_X .

If (ii) happens, then by Proposition 4.7, $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dissectable with respect to G_X . Hence A is dissectable with respect to G_X . So $\ddot{B}(x, r)$ is flexible with respect to G_X . Hence (X, G_X) satisfies (P4). We have proved Part (a) of Theorem 4.3. $\square$

Faithfulness in metrizable locally convex spaces

We now turn to general locally convex spaces. The question whether the class of locally convex topological vector spaces is faithful is open. That is, it is unknown whether $\{(X, H(X)) \mid X \text{ is a locally convex space}\}$ is faithful.

In [LR] it was shown that if K_2 is the class of space-group pairs (X, G) such that X is an open subset of a normal locally convex space E , and E has a nonempty open set which intersects every line in a bounded set. Then K_2 is faithful.

So K_2 includes spaces which are not first countable. On the other hand, spaces which are a countable product of normed spaces, and in particular, $\mathbb{R}^{\aleph_0}$ do not belong to K_2 . These spaces do belong to the faithful class considered below.

Theorem D in the introduction states that the class of all space-group pairs (X, G) in which X is an open subset of a locally convex metrizable topological vector space, and G is a group containing all locally bi-uniformly continuous homeomorphisms of X is faithful. Below we define the group P_X

and prove the $(X, P_X) \in K_1$. This implies Theorem D.

Definition 4.8. Let E be a metric locally convex space.

(a) Let $h \in H(E)$. Suppose that there are $x_0, \dots, x_n, k_1, \dots, k_n$ and $r > 0$ such that $h = k_n \circ \dots \circ k_1$, and for every i , k_i is a basic homeomorphism with $x^{k_i} = x_{i-1}$, $y^{k_i} = x_i$ and $r^{k_i} = r$. Then h is called a *polygonal homeomorphism*. Denote $S^h = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S^{k_i}$ and $r^h = r$.

(b) We define $\hat{P}_E \subseteq H(E)$. A member $h \in H(E)$ belongs to $\hat{P}_E$ if there is a set of polygonal homeomorphisms $\{h_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

- (1) $h \upharpoonright (E \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S^{h_i}) = Id$, and for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \upharpoonright S^{h_i} = h_i \upharpoonright S^{h_i}$.
- (2) h is locally bi-uniformly continuous.
- (3) $\text{supp}(h)$ is a bounded set.
- (4) $\{S^{h_i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is discrete.

Let P_E be the subgroup of $H(E)$ generated by $\hat{P}_E$

Let $X \subseteq E$ be open and nonempty. Define

$$P_X = \{g \upharpoonright X \mid g \in P_E \text{ and } g \upharpoonright (E \setminus X) = Id\}.$$

Theorem 4.9. (a) Let K_M be the class of all space-group pairs (X, G) in which X is a nonempty open subset of a metrizable locally convex topological vector space E , and $G \subseteq H(X)$ is a group containing all locally bi-uniformly continuous homeomorphisms of X .

Then K_M is faithful.

(b) For every metric locally convex space E and a nonempty open subset $X \subseteq E$, $(X, P_X) \in K_1$.

(c) Let $K_P = \{(X, G) \mid X \text{ is a nonempty open subset of a metric locally convex space and } P_X \subseteq G \subseteq H(X)\}$.

Then K_P is faithful.

Remarks (a) In 4.9(a) the “uniform continuity” is with respect to the uniformity of the topological group $(E, +)$. However, for every metric d on E , if d is invariant under $+$ and d induces on E the original topology, then the uniformity of (E, d) is equal to the uniformity of $(E, +)$.

(b) Because K_1 is closed upwards, 4.9(b) implies 4.9(c). Since $K_M \subseteq K_P$, 4.9(c) implies 4.9(a).

(c) The proof of 4.9(b) is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.3(a).

For a metric locally convex space (E, d) and $x \in E$, denote $\|x\| = d(0, x)$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let (E, d) be a metric locally convex space. Let $x, y \in E$ and $r > 0$. Then there is a bi-uniformly continuous basic homeomorphism h such that $h(x) = y$ and $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B([x, y], r)$.*

Proof We may assume that $x = 0$. Let $L = \text{span}(\{y\})$. Since E is locally convex, there is a complement F of L such that the projection P of (F, L) is continuous. In a metric vector space with an invariant metric every continuous linear operator is uniformly continuous. In particular, P is uniformly continuous.

By the triangle inequality and the fact that the metric d is $+$ -invariant, $B_L(0, \frac{r}{2}) + B_F(0, \frac{r}{2}) \subseteq B_E(0, r)$. So $B_L([0, y], \frac{r}{2}) + B_F(0, \frac{r}{2}) \subseteq B_E([0, y], r)$. Let $p = \min(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{\|y\|}{2})$. Choose $y_1 \in [0, y]$ such that $\|y_1\| = p$, and let q be such that $qy = y_1$. We define a function $g : [0, p] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. Let $t \in [0, p]$. We first define a function $g_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The function g_t is the unique piecewise linear function satisfying:

- (1) The breakpoints of g_t are $-q, 0$ and $1 + q$,
- (2) $g_t(s) = 0$ for every $s \in [-\infty, -q] \cup [1 + q, \infty]$,
- (3) $g_t(0) = \frac{p-t}{p}$.

Define g by $g(t, s) = g_t(s)$. Clearly, g is uniformly continuous.

Let $z \in E$. Suppose that $z = \lambda y + v$, where $v \in F$. So $\lambda y = P(z)$. Denote $\varphi(z) = \lambda$ and $v = Q(z)$. Since $Q = Id - P$, Q is uniformly continuous. φ is a continuous homomorphism from $(E, +)$ to $(\mathbb{R}, +)$. So φ is uniformly continuous. Define

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} g_{\|v\|}(\lambda) \cdot y + v & \|v\| \leq p \\ z & \|v\| > p \end{cases}$$

Note that if $\|v\| = p$, then $h(z) = z$. Now, if $\|v\| \leq p$, then $h(z) = g(\|Q(v)\|, \varphi(z)) \cdot y + Q(z)$. Hence h is uniformly continuous. Clearly,

$$h^{-1}(z) = \begin{cases} (g_{\|Q(z)\|})^{-1}(\varphi(z)) \cdot y + Q(z) & \|Q(z)\| \leq p \\ z & \|Q(z)\| \geq p \end{cases}$$

Since the function $(t, s) \mapsto (g_t)^{-1}(s)$ is uniformly continuous, h^{-1} is uniformly continuous.

Let $z \in E$. Suppose that $z = \lambda y + v$, where $v \in F$. If $\|v\| \geq p$, then $h(z) = z$, and if $\lambda \notin [-q, 1 + q]$, then $h(z) = z$. It follows that $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B_L([0, y], p) + B_F(0, p) \subseteq B_E([0, y], r)$. So h is as required. $\square$

Definition 4.11. Let E be a metrizable infinite dimensional locally convex space. Denote the completion of E by $\bar{E}$. A nonempty open subset $U \subseteq E$ is called *polygonally flexible*, if the following holds. For every infinite $A \subseteq U$, if A is discrete in $\bar{E}$, then there are an infinite set $B \subseteq A$ and $x \in U$ such that $P(x, B)$ holds, where $P(x, B)$ is the following statement.

For every $W \in \text{Nbr}(x)$, there are a set $\{y_b \mid b \in B\} \subseteq W$ and a family of polygonal lines $\{L_b \mid b \in B\}$ such that:

- (1) For every $b \in B$, $L_b \subseteq \text{cl}(U)$ and the endpoints of L_b are b and y_b .
- (2) $\{L_b \mid b \in B\}$ is discrete in $\bar{E}$.

Proposition 4.12. (a) *The separable Hilbert space ℓ_2 is polygonally flexible. Moreover, for every infinite discrete $A \subseteq \ell_2$ there is an infinite $B \subseteq A$ and a nonempty open set D such that for every $x \in D$, $P(x, B)$ holds.*

(b) *Let E be an infinite dimensional metric locally convex space and $U \subseteq E$ be a convex open set. Then U is polygonally flexible.*

Proof (a) The proof of Part (a) is easy and is left to the reader.

(b) Let $A \subseteq U$ be a countably infinite set discrete in $\bar{E}$. Choose a separable infinite dimensional closed subspace E_1 of E such that E_1 contains the linear span of A . Let $U_1 = U \cap E_1$ and $F_1 = \text{cl}_{\bar{E}_1}(U_1)$.

Clearly, F_1 is the closure of an open convex subset of a separable Fréchet space. By [BP] Corollary 6.1 p.191, such a set is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 . Choose $h : F_1 \cong \ell_2$. Let $A' = h(A)$. Then A' is discrete in ℓ_2 . So there is an infinite $B' \subseteq A'$ and a ball D' of ℓ_2 such that for every $x' \in D'$, $P(x', B')$ holds.

Let $x' \in D' \cap h(U_1)$. Let $x = h^{-1}(x')$ and $B = h^{-1}(B')$. We show that $P(x, B)$ holds. Let $W \in Nbr_E(x)$. Denote $W_1 = \text{cmpl}(W \cap E_1)$. Then $h(W_1) \in Nbr_{\ell_2}(x')$. Let $\{L_{b'} \mid b' \in B'\}$ be as assured by $P(x', B')$ for $h(W)$, and $M_b = h^{-1}(L'_{h(b)})$. Replace M_b by polygonal line L_b which is sufficiently close to M_b and whose vertices are in E . Then $L_b \subseteq E$. Also, $\{L_b \mid b \in B\}$ is discrete in F_1 . Since F_1 is closed in $\bar{E}$, $\{L_b \mid b \in B\}$ is discrete in $\bar{E}$. $\square$

For $x \in E$ and $r > 0$ let $\bar{B}_E(x, r)$ denote the open ball with center at x and radius r .

Proposition 4.13. *Let X be an open set in an infinite dimensional metric locally convex space E . Suppose that U is an open nonempty bounded convex subset of E such that $cl_E(U) \subseteq X$. Let $A \subseteq U$ be infinite, and suppose that A is discrete in $\bar{E}$. Then A is dissectable in (X, P_X) .*

Proof Let $B \subseteq A$ and $x \in U$ be such that $P(x, B)$ holds. We may assume that $|B| = \aleph_0$ and that $x \notin B$.

Claim 1 For every $V \in Nbr(x)$ and $C \subseteq B$ there is $h \in P_X$ such that for every $c \in C$, $h(c) \in V$, and for every $b \in B \setminus C$ there is $W \in Nbr(b)$ such that $h \upharpoonright W = Id$.

Proof For every $b \in B$ let $L_b \subseteq cl_E(U)$ be a polygonal line and $y_b \in V$ be such that (i) the endpoints of L_b are b and y_b , (ii) $\{L_b \mid b \in B\}$ is discrete in $\bar{E}$. Let $d_b = d(L_b, (E \setminus X) \cup \bigcup \{L_{b'} \mid b' \in B \setminus \{b\}\})$. So $d_b > 0$. Let $\{r_b \mid b \in B\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 such that $r_b < \frac{d_b}{2}$. There is a bi-uniformly continuous polygonal homeomorphism h_b such that $h_b(b) = y_b$ and $\text{supp}(h_b) \subseteq B(L_b, r_b)$. The homeomorphism h_b is obtained by applying Proposition 4.10 to every edge of L_b . So for every distinct $b, b' \in B$, $\text{supp}(h_b) \cap \text{supp}(h_{b'}) = \emptyset$ and $\text{supp}(h_b) \subseteq X$. Also, since $\{r_b \mid b \in B\}$ converges to 0, $\{B(L_b, r_b) \mid b \in B\}$ is discrete in $\bar{E}$. Let $h = Id \upharpoonright (X \setminus \bigcup_{c \in C} B(L_c, r_c)) \cup \bigcup_{c \in C} h_c$. Then h is as required.

Claim 2 Let $d = \min(d(x, E \setminus U), \frac{d(x, B)}{2})$ and T be an open convex set contained in $\bar{B}(x, d)$ and containing x . Then T dissects B .

Proof Let $W \subseteq T$ be open and nonempty. Let $y \in W$. So $[x, y] \subseteq U$.

Hence there is $r > 0$ such that $B([x, y], r) \subseteq \bar{B}(x, d)$. There is a bi-uniformly continuous basic homeomorphism g' such that $\text{supp}(g') \subseteq B([x, y], r)$ and $g'(x) = y$. This follows from Proposition 4.10. Let $g = g' \upharpoonright X$. Then $g \in P_X$.

Denote $V = g^{-1}(W)$. Then $V \in \text{Nbr}(x)$. Let $C \subseteq B$ be such that C and $B \setminus C$ are infinite. By Claim 1, there is $h \in P_X$ such that $h(C) \subseteq V$ and for every $b \in B \setminus C$, there is $S \in \text{Nbr}(b)$ such that $h \upharpoonright S = \text{Id}$.

It follows that for every $c \in C$, $g \circ h(c) \in W$. Also, $g \upharpoonright (X \setminus B([x, y], r)) = \text{Id}$ and $X \setminus B([x, y], r) \in \text{Nbr}(b)$ for every $b \in B$. So for every $b \in B \setminus C$ there is $S \in \text{Nbr}(b)$ such that $g \circ h \upharpoonright S = \text{Id}$. This shows that T dissects B . This proves Claim 2. Since $B \subseteq A$, T dissects A in (X, P_X) . $\square$

If $g \in H(E)$ and g is bi-uniformly continuous, then g has a unique extension to $\bar{E}$. So $g(x)$ is defined for any $x \in \bar{E}$.

Proposition 4.14. *Let E be a metric locally convex space. Let $x \in \bar{E} \setminus E$. Let U be an open convex subset of E such that $x \in \text{cmpl}(U)$. Then for every nonempty open subset $V \subseteq U$ there is a bi-uniformly continuous basic homeomorphism g of E such that $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq U$ and $g(x) \in \text{cmpl}(V)$.*

Proof Let $z \in \text{cmpl}(V)$ be such that $y := z - x \in E$. Let $r > 0$ be such that $B_{\bar{E}}([x, z], 2r) \subseteq \text{cmpl}(U)$. So if $x' \in \bar{E}$ is such that $\|x' - x\| < r$, then $B_{\bar{E}}([x', x' + y], r) \subseteq \text{cmpl}(U)$. By Proposition 4.10, there is a bi-uniformly continuous basic homeomorphism h of E such that $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq B([0, y], r)$ and $h(0) = y$. Let $\bar{h}$ be the extension of h to $\bar{E}$. Let $e > 0$ be such that $B_{\bar{E}}(z, 2e) \subseteq \text{cmpl}(V)$. There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $u \in \bar{E}$: if $\|u\| < \varepsilon$, then $\|\bar{h}(u) - y\| < e$. Let $x' \in E$ be such that $\|x' - x\| < \min(r, \varepsilon, e)$. Let $g = \text{tr}_{x'} \circ h \circ \text{tr}_{x'}^{-1}$. So g is a bi-uniformly continuous basic homeomorphism. Clearly, $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq B([x', x' + y], r) \subseteq U$. Since $\|x' - x\| < \varepsilon$, $\|g(x) - g(x')\| < e$. Also, $g(x') = x' + z - x$. So $\|g(x) - x' - z + x\| < e$. Hence

$$\|g(x) - z\| \leq \|g(x) - x' - z + x\| + \|x' - x\| < 2e.$$

So $g(x) \in \text{cmpl}(V)$. $\square$

Proposition 4.15. *Let E be a metric locally convex space. Let $X \subseteq E$ be open, and $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$ be a Cauchy sequence such that*

$\lim_n x_n \in \text{cmpl}(X) \setminus X$. Then $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dissectable with respect to P_X .

Proof The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.7. It relies on Propositions 4.10 and 4.14 in the same way that the proof of 4.7 relies on Propositions 4.4 and 4.6. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.9 Part (c) of 4.9 follows from Part (b) and Part (a) follows from Part (c). We prove Part (b).

Let X be a nonempty open subset of a metric locally convex space E . Then X is regular and first countable. That is, (P1) of Definition 2.2(f) holds. By Proposition 4.10, P_X is locally moving. That is, (P2) holds for P_X . Proposition 4.10 also implies that $DF_{X,P_X}(x, y)$ holds for any distinct $x, y \in X$. Indeed, choose $r > 0$ such that $y \notin B_E(x, r) \subseteq X$. Then by Proposition 4.10, for every $z \in B^E(x, r)$ there is $g \in P_X$ such that $g(x) = z$, and $g|_U = Id$ for some $U \in Nbr(y)$. Hence (P3) holds.

We show that (P4) holds. Let U be a bounded convex open set such that $cl_{\bar{E}}(U) \subseteq \text{cmpl}(X)$. Let $A \subseteq U$ be an infinite set, and assume that A is discrete in X . Either A is discrete in $\bar{E}$ or A contains a 1-1 Cauchy sequence converging to a member of $\bar{E} - E$. If A is discrete in $\bar{E}$, then by Proposition 4.13, A is dissectable in (X, P_X) .

Suppose that $B = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq A$ is a 1-1 Cauchy sequence converging to x and $x \in \bar{E} - E$. Then $x \in \text{cmpl}(X) - X$. By Proposition 4.15, B is dissectable in (X, P_X) . We have shown that U is flexible in (X, P_X) . So X has an open cover consisting of flexible sets. That is, (P4) holds. $\square$

References

- [Ba1] Banyaga A. *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, Math. Appl. **400**, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht, 1997.
- [Ba2] Banyaga A. *Isomorphisms between classical diffeomorphism groups*, Geometry, topology, and dynamics (Montreal, 1995), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, **15** (1998) 1-15.
- [BB] Borzellino J. and Brunsden V. *Determination of the topological structure of an orbifold by its group of orbifold diffeomorphisms*, 2000, (preprint).
- [Br1] Brin M. G., *The chameleon groups of Richard J. Thompson: automorphisms and dynamics*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **84** (1996) 5-33.
- [Br2] Brin M. G. *The ubiquity of Thompson's group F in groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of the unit interval*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **60** (1999) 449-460.
- [BG] Brin M. G. and Guzmán F. *Automorphisms of generalized Thompson groups*, J. Algebra **203** (1998) 285-348.
- [BP] Bessaga C. and Pelczyński A. *Selected topics in infinite dimensional topology*, PWN - Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw 1975.
- [E] Engelking E. *Theory of dimensions, finite and infinite*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics Vol.10, Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995.
- [F] Fonf V. P., *Boundedly complete basic sequences, c_0 -subspaces, and injections of Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **89** (1995) 173-188.
- [FL] Fonf V. P. and Lindenstrauss J., *Some results on infinite-dimensional convexity*, Israel J.Math. **108** (1998) 13-32.

- [Ka] Kawamura K., *Isomorphisms of homeomorphism groups of Menger manifolds*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **43** (1995) 329-333.
- [Ko] Köthe G., *Topological vector spaces I*, Springer-Verlag 1969.
- [LR] Leiderman A. and Rubin M., *On the reconstruction of locally convex spaces from their groups of homeomorphisms*, Topology Proceedings, **24** (1999) 329-360.
- [Lg1] Ling W., A classification theorem for manifold automorphism groups, Preprint 1980. bitem[LR]LR
- [Lg2] Ling W., Factorizable groups of homeomorphisms, Preprint 1980.
- [McC] McCoy R. A., *Homeomorphism groups of Hilbert cube manifolds*, Gen. Top. Appl. **2** (1972) 55-60.
- [Ru1] Rubin M., On the reconstruction of Boolean algebras from their automorphism groups, Handbook of Boolean Algebras, Edited by J. D. Monk, Vol 2 Chapter 15 547-605, North Holland Amsterdam 1989.
- [Ru2] Rubin M., On the reconstruction of topological spaces from their groups of homeomorphisms, AMS Trans. **312** (1989) 487-538.
- [Ru3] Rubin M., *Locally moving groups and reconstruction problems*, Ordered Groups and Infinite Permutation Groups 121-151, Math. Appl. **354**, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht, 1996.
- [RY] Rubin M. and Yomdin Y., Reconstruction of manifolds and subsets of normed vector spaces from subgroups of their homeomorphism groups, (2000) to appear.
- [W] Whittaker J. V., On isomorphic groups and homeomorphic spaces, Ann. Math. **78** (1963) 74-91.